ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

polymer 57

www.elsevier.com/locate/polymer

Urethane oligomers as raw materials and intermediates for polyurethane elastomers. Methods for synthesis, structural studies and analysis of chemical composition

Piotr Król*, Barbara Pilch-Pitera

Department of Polymer Science, Faculty of Chemistry, Rzeszów University of Technology, Al. Powstańców Warszawy 6, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland Received 21 January 2003; received form 2 May 2003; accepted 15 May 2003

Abstract

Based on earlier models developed for polyaddition of diisocyanates and polyols, a non-stoichiometric process was provided for step-by-step polymerisation of 2,4- and 2,6-tolylene diisocyanate (TDI) with diols which had various molecule sizes and the nature of polyethers and polyesters. Said process yielded urethane oligomers which had –NCO or –OH groups as their chain end groups. After elimination of excess monomer, these compounds were—at subsequent stages (2–5)—subjected to further reaction with diisocyanate or with selected polyol. The process was operated in bulk and excess monomers were eliminated with the use of the selective extraction method. Linear products were obtained in that way which had well defined chain structures and narrow distribution of their molecular weights (MWD) PD = $\overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n = 1.1-1.3$. On the basis of IR and mass spectrometry (MS) (electrospray ionization (ESI) and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF)) structural analyses, the expected structures of oligomers were confirmed and the actual compositions of polyurethane mixtures formed at every stage of the polymerisation process could be verified against the data obtained from the model, from the balance calculations (based on determinations of free isocyanate groups) and from the findings of the gel-permeation chromatography (GPC) analysis. Applicability of the presented method was demonstrated and the general scheme was suggested for the process discussed.

© 2003 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd.

Keywords: Polyurethanes; Urethane oligomers; Step-by-step polyaddition process

1. Introduction

Some special applications of polyurethanes (PUs), like membranes, coatings which are biocompatible with living tissues and body fluids (e.g. blood) [1,2], liquid crystal mesogenes with non-linear optical properties [3,4], coatings which are modified by covering them with orderly organic mono- and multi-layers [5], and/or waterborne emulsions and dispersions which incorporate polyacrylates and which have the structures similar to those of interpenetrating polymer networks (IPNs) [6,7], require more and more frequently a precisely defined chemical composition and a narrow distribution of molecular weights, both for intermediates and for polyurethanes to be employed in the manufacturing processes. An interesting example for such an application is the synthesis of urethane–vinyl copoly-

0032-3861/03/\$ - see front matter $\hfill 0$ 2003 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd. doi:10.1016/S0032-3861(03)00431-2

mers by the controlled/living radical polymerisation (CRP) method with the use of urethane macro-initiators [8].

It is a relatively difficult task to manufacture products with controlled chemical compositions and narrow MWDs in case of polymers obtained from the step-by-step polymerisation methods. That effect becomes available in chain growth polymerisation processes when the living ion polymerisation method or one of CRP methods (NMP or ATRP) is employed [9]. The progress in step-by-step polymerisation, which is based on the reaction of functional groups in monomers and on converting said monomers into bigger and bigger oligomers and polymers, can be controlled only to some extent by means of the initial molar ratio of functional groups. It is known on the grounds of Flory's theory that the equimolar ratio of functional groups is favourable for the formation of high-molecular-weight polyaddition products, while the polymer so produced will have a considerably high polydispersity $PD = \overline{M}_w / \overline{M}_n \gg 3.0$ at the same time [10]. Our earlier reports demonstrated that the ratio PD could be

Corresponding author. Tel.: +48-17-865-1579; fax: +48-17-854-3655.
 E-mail address: pkrol@prz.rzeszw.pl (P. Król).

reduced by running a non-stoichiometric polyaddition 113 reaction of diisocyanurate and polyol with considerable 114 excess of one of monomers. However, unconverted excess 115 of that raw material needs to be separated (eliminated) after 116 every process stage. Yet, the formal polymerisation degree 117 DP of the polymer formed at every stage does not exceed 3 118 then [11,12]. The question arises if that method could be 119 employed to produce linear PUs with well-defined and 120 repeatable chemical structures, and what impact can be 121 expected from that structure on the arrangements of chains 122 within the supermolecular structures, i.e. segments respon-123 sible for the flexible and rigid phases of that polyurethane? 124 The generated phase structure is known to be decisive for 125 the physical-mechanical properties of PU plastics. At the 126 early stage, we tried to find the answer to the above question 127 by synthesizing oligourethanes with various molecular 128 weights in the reaction of 2,4-TDI and 2,6-TDI (TDI) 129 with butane-1,4-diol (BD). The reactions were proceeding 130 in tetrahydrofuran (THF); the solvent was used to control 131 viscosity of the system [11]. Our another study covered 132 numerous stages of the synthesis which was carried out in 133 bulk only and which employed-in addition to BD-also 134 poly(oxyethylene)glycols (POGs) with $\bar{M}_n = 200, 400, 600$ 135 and 1000 g/mol [12]. 136

Having in mind that the supermolecular structures of 137 linear oligourethanes are influenced by the presence of 138 intermolecular hydrogen bonds which are much more 139 140 numerous in the segments formed with the participation of polyesters than in polyether-urethane segments, it seemed 141 justifiable not only using POGs but also polyester-polyols 142 and [13,14]. We decided to utilise polycaprolactone diols 143 (PCDs) with $\bar{M}_n = 530$ and 1250 g/mol. 144

This report presents the findings from our investigations. Theoretical grounds have been provided for the polyaddition method adopted in the study, the developed ways of producing urethane oligomers with precisely defined compositions and structures have been described, and the findings from structural analyses have been shown.

154 **2.** Concept for the step-by-step polyaddition process

The model of the stipulated step-by-step polyaddition process was based on the controlled synthesis procedure that made use of the kinetic model developed for a series of successive-parallel reactions. The scheme was just an expansion of a generally known equation describing the process which yields linear PUs:

 $\begin{array}{l} 163 \\ 164 \\ 165 \\ 166 \end{array} \sim (-O-R_1-O-OC-NH-R_2-NH-CO-)_n \sim (1) \\ 166 \end{array}$

where R₁ is the aliphatic or aromatic moiety derived from
 low-molecular-weight diol, polyether-diol or polyester-

diol and R_2 is the aliphatic, aromatic or alicyclic moiety in 169 diisocyanate. 170

The process considered can be presented as a train of 171 successive-parallel irreversible reactions: 172

$$A + 4B \rightarrow A_1B_2 + 2B,$$
 $A_1B_2 + 4A \rightarrow A_3B_2 + 2A,$ (2) 173

$$A_3B_2 + 4B \rightarrow A_3B_4 + 2B...$$

wherein each reaction has its specific rate constant k_i . In this 177 scheme: A stands for BD, POG or PCD; B is one of TDI 178 isomers; $A_n B_m$, the corresponding oligourethane which can 179 be urethane diol $A_{n+1}B_n$ or urethane-diisocyanate A_nB_{n+1} . 180 Oligourethane, which is a product of one or a series of a few 181 reactions, makes a substrate for some subsequent stage and 182 reacts with a monomer, A or B, then. 183

In the beginning, we utilised kinetic models that were 184 developed earlier, for numerical simulations of the 185 planned processes; the use of different diisocyanates 186 and polyols was assumed [15-17]. The simulation 187 procedures made it possible to calculate changes in 188 concentrations of reacting substances during the process 189 as well as the number-average molecular weight of PUs 190 in relation to the reaction conditions adopted, i.e. molar 191 ratio of functional groups, molecular weight of polyol, 192 and temperature, which was represented with the rate 193 constant k_1 for reaction 1 in scheme (2) utilised in 194 calculations. The developed procedures made it also 195 possible to adjust for changing reactivity of diisocyanate. 196 The so-called substitution effect could thus be considered 197 which is responsible for declining reactivity of the 198 second -NCO group in an aromatic diisocyanate, e.g. in 199 2,6-TDI, after its first group has been converted into a 200 corresponding carbamate structure [17]. 201

Fig. 1 shows the examples of calculated molecular weights for oligourethanes at successive stages of equimolar (r = 1) polyaddition of 2,4-TDI and 2,6-TDI

Fig. 1. Graphical presentation of model relationships between molecular weight (\bar{M}_n) of PU and time at the fixed reaction constant (k_1) in polyaddition of TDI to 1,4-BD (r = 1). 224



2

152

153

155

162

221

202

203

204

175

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx



Fig. 2. Molecular weight (\overline{M}_n) of PU in relation to the number in polyaddition of of the step/stage TDI to POG-200 $(k_1 = 0.0005 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, r = 4).$ 242

241

243

with 1,4-BD, in relation to the value of k_1 . Fig. 2 shows 244 the simulation results for the urethane oligomers 245 246 production process which has been based on the reaction of TDI and POG 200, with the reaction 247 proceeding with no external catalyst at about 55 °C. 248 The simulation was limited to cover 5-6 stages which 249 were then implemented in practice [18]. The calculation 250 results were helpful in designing a multi-stage method 251 for the synthesis of urethane oligomers. 252

3. Experimental

3.1. Raw materials and reagents

- 2,4- and 2,6-Tolylene diisocyanate (TDI). A commercial 285 product was used in the study. It was a mixture of 2,4-286 TDI and 2,6-TDI isomers at the ratio of 80 and 20%, 287 respectively, from Aldrich. 288
- Polyoxyethylene glycol (POG) with $\bar{M}_n = 200, 300, 400,$ 289 600 and 1000 g/mol, from Aldrich. The glycols were 290 dried under vacuum in N2, at temp. 110 °C, during 2 h. 291
- Polycaprolactone diol (PCD) with $\bar{M}_n = 530$ and 1250, 292 from Aldrich. 293
- Benzoyl chloride (pure), from POCh-Gliwice, Poland.
- 1,4-Butanediol (BD) (pure), from Aldrich.
- The solvents: hexane, ethyl acetate, toluene, xylene, 296 carbon tetrachloride, and tetrahydrofuran were reagent 297 grade materials, supplied by POCh-Gliwice, Poland. 298

3.2. Method for the synthesis of urethane oligomers

The reactions were carried out in bulk in a glass reactor, 302 under nitrogen. Benzoyl chloride was used (0.3 wt% with 303 respect to TDI) as a viscosity control agent; moreover, its 304 use made it possible to expand the stability period of 305 synthesised prepolymers $A_n B_{n+1}$ and hence the prepolymers 306 could be employed as parent substances in subsequent 307 reactions. The polyaddition process was always initiated by 308



3

281

282

283

284

294

295

299

300

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

л
+

Table 1 337

Symbol of oligomer	No. for polyaddition stage	Basic reaction in polyaddition stage	Polyol component	Extraction solvent system for excess monome
I-Bda			BD	-
I-BDb			BD	Hexane/ethyl acetate (2:1)
I-200a			POG 200	_
I-200b			POG 200	Hexane/ethyl acetate (2:1)
I-300a			POG 300	_
I-300b			POG 300	Hexane/eth_acetate (1.5:1)
I-400a	I	$A + 4B \rightarrow A_1B_2 + 2B$	POG 400	
I-400b	-	11 + 12 1122 + 22	POG 400	Hexane/eth_acetate (1.5:1)
I-600a			POG 600	
I-600b			POG 600	Hexane/ethyl acetate (1.1)
I-1000a			POG 1000	_
I-1000b			POG 1000	Hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1)
I-530a			PCD 530	_
I-530b			PCD 530	Hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1)
I-1250a			PCD 1250	_
I-1250b			PCD 1250	Hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1)
II-BDa			BD	-
II-BDb			BD	water
II-200a			POG 200	-
II-200b			POG 200	Toluene
II-300a			POG 300	-
II-300b			POG 300	Toluene
II-400a			POG 400	
II-400b	II	$A_1B_2 + 4A \rightarrow A_3B_2 + 2A$	POG 400	Toluene
I-600a			POG 600	-
II-600b			POG 600	Toluene-xylene
II-1000a			POG 1000	-
II-1000b			POG 1000	CCl_4
II-530a			PCD 530	-
II-530b			PCD 530	Toluene-xylene
II-1250a			PCD 1250	_
I-1250b			PCD 1250	CCl_4
III-Bda			BD	
III-BDb			BD	
III-200a			POG 200	
III-200b			POG 200	
III-300a			POG 300	
III-300b			POG 300	
III-400a			POG 400	
III-400b	III	$A_3B_2 + 4B \rightarrow A_3B_4 + 2B$	POG 400	Like at stage I
III-600a			POG 600	
III-600b			POG 600	
III-1000a			POG 1000	
III-1000b			POG 1000	
III-530a			PCD 530	
III-530b			PCD 530	
III-1250a			PCD 1250	
III-1250b			PCD 1250	
IV-BDa			BD	
IV-BDb			BD	
IV-200a			POG 200	
IV-200b			POG 200	
IV-300a			POG 300	
IV-300b			POG 300	
IV-400a			POG 400	
IV-400b	IV	$A_3B_4 + 4A \rightarrow A_5B_4 + 2A$	POG 400	Like at stage II
IV-600a			POG 600	C C
IV-600b			POG 600	
IV-1000a			POG 1000	

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

Symbol of oligomer	No. for polyaddition stage	Basic reaction in polyaddition stage	Polyol component	Extraction solvent system for excess monome
IV-530a			PCD 530	
IV-530b			PCD 530	
IV-1250a			PCD 1250	
IV-1250b			PCD 1250	
V-BDa			BD	
V-BDb			BD	
V-200a			POG 200	
V-200b			POG 200	
V-300a			POG 300	
V-300b			POG 300	
V-400a			POG 400	
V-400b	V	$A_5B_4 + 4B \rightarrow A_5B_6 + 2B$	POG 400	Like at stage I
V-600a			POG 600	
V-600b			POG 600	
V-1000a			POG 1000	
V-1000b			POG 1000	
V-530a			PCD 530	
V-530b			PCD 530	
V-1250a			PCD 1250	
V-1250b			PCD 1250	

471

a reaction which proceeded at a considerable excess of 472 diisocyanate (B). In order to make the complete conversion 473 possible for the parent substances A or B which were in 474 short supply, each reaction - what can be observed in 475 diagram (2)-was carried out at 100% excess of the other 476 reacting substance-BD, POG, PCD or TDI. The feeds were 477 introduced under possibly mild conditions to avoid any 478 uncontrolled conversion of -NCO groups which were still 479 present in the system to allophanates or their cyclisation to 480 isocyanurates. No external catalyst was employed in our 481 experiments. A calculated amount of hydroxyl-terminated 482 or isocyanate-terminated oligomer prepared at an earlier 483 stage was introduced dropwise to TDI or to appropriate 484 glycol, respectively. It was always the minor component 485 that was introduced to the component which was present in 486 excess. The time of introduction was adjusted to last ca. 487 30 min. The reacting mixture was maintained at 65 ± 1 °C. 488 The temperature was kept at that level for another 2 h after 489 the predefined volume of the minority component had been 490 added. Extraction was employed to remove excess uncon-491 verted monomer after every stage and thus purified 492 intermediate $A_n B_m$ could be employed as a parent substance 493 for another polyaddition stage. 494

The principal reactions for every stage I–V are presented in Table 1. The letter a denotes the samples taken directly from the reactor, while the letter b denotes the products after extracting out the excess of TDI or diol.

On the basis of assumed reaction stoichiometry and experimentally determined content of free – NCO groups we could calculate the compositions of initial mixtures, i.e. weight fractions for substrates (A_nB_{n+1} and B at odd stages 1, 3, 5, as well as $A_{n+1}B_n$ and A at even stages 2 and 4 of the processes) and for reaction products. In case of even stages 2 and 4, the course of reaction—which conformed to our expectations—and hence the expected chemical compositions of the urethane—hydroxyl oligomers formed was confirmed indirectly by comparing the theoretical and experimental losses in weight for samples after excess monomer A had been extracted out of them.

Exemplary compositions of mixtures at successive stages of the reaction between BD and TDI are shown diagrammatically in Fig. 3.

3.3. Example I—synthesis of urethane oligomers from TDI 538 and BD 539

I stage. In a 1 dm³ jacketed glass reactor fitted with reflux 541 condenser, stirrer and nitrogen inlet tube TDI (696.68 g, 542 4 M) and benzoyl chloride (2.09 g, 0.3 wt%) was charged. 543 After heating the reaction mixture to 65 ± 1 °C, BD 544 (90.00 g, 1 M) was added drop by drop in the time of 545 30 min. Then the heating was continued 2 h in order to 546 supply total BD conversion. After the end of the reaction, 547 precipitate of the product (I-BDa) was washed by the 548 mixture *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate equal 2:1. The 549 concentration of -NCO groups after the end of extraction 550 amount 36.32% (theoretically: 39.43%). The obtained 551 product I-BDb was dried under a vacuum in the time of 552 15 min and then used as a substrate in the next stage. 553

II stage. In a 1 dm³ jacketed glass reactor BD (300.29 g, 554 3.34 M) and benzoyl chloride (0.12 g, 0.3 wt% to I-BDb). 555 After heating the reaction mixture to 65 ± 1 °C, I-BDb 556 (400.00 g, 0.834 M) was added drop by drop in the time of 30 min. Then, heating was continued 2 h. The obtained 558 product (II-BDa) was in the form of very sticky liquid. Very 559 little amount of THF was added to it in order to make the 560

527

534

535

536

537

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

extraction easier. Unreacted excess of BD was extracted by.
The extraction was carried out to get constant weight of the
sample according to theoretical dates (580.00 g; 0.834 M).
At the end of the extraction, the product was dried under a
vacuum at 90 °C in 15 min. The product II-BDb was a hard
and transparent resin.

III stage. The reaction was carried out analogous to the I
stage 300.00 g (0.50 M) II-BDb and 348.34 g (2.00 M) TDI
were used. The concentration of the –NCO groups in the
final product after extraction (III-BDb) amounted to 14.28%
(theoretically: 17.28%).

IV stage. The reaction was carried out analogous to the II
stage. 300.00 g (0.246 M) III-BDb and 88.55 g (0.984 M)
BD were used. The extraction was carried out by water till
constant weight 344.27 g (0.246 M) of the product (VBDb).

V stage. Analogous to the I or III stage.

3.4. Example II—synthesis of urethane oligomers from TDI and PEG 200

I stage. In a 1 dm³ jacketed glass reactor fitted with reflux 582 condenser, stirrer and nitrogen inlet tube TDI (348.34 g, 583 2 M) and benzoyl chloride (1.05 g, 0.3 wt%) was charged. 584 After heating the reaction mixture to 65 ± 1 °C, PEG 200 585 (100,00 g, 0.5 M) was added drop by drop in 30 min. Then, 586 heating was continued 2 h in order to supply total glycol 587 conversion. At the end of the reaction, liquid product (I-588 200a) was extracted by the mixture *n*-hexane and ethyl 589 acetate equal 2:1. At the end of extraction, the rest of the 590 product (I-200b) was evaporated under vacuum. The 591 concentration of -NCO groups after the completion of 592 593 extraction amounts to 27.06% (theoretically: 31.76%).

II stage. In a 1 dm³ jacketed glass reactor PEG 200 594 (372.88 g, 1.86 M) and benzoyl chloride (0.9 g, 0.3 wt% to 595 I-200b). After heating the reaction mixture to 65 ± 1 °C, 596 300.00 g (0.47 M) I-200b was added in 30 min. Then, the 597 heating was continued for 2 h. The obtained product was in 598 a form of sticky liquid. Unreacted excess of PEG 200 was 599 extracted by toluene. The extraction was carried out to 600 getting the weight of sample as theoretical one (486.44 g, 601 0.47 M). At the end of the extraction, the rest of toluene was 602 evaporated under vacuum. The product (II-200b) was 603 obtained in the form of sticky liquid. 604

III and V stage. Analogous to the I stage.

IV stage. Analogous to the II stage.

608 3.5. Analytical methods

610 *Concentration of NCO groups.* The typical dibutylamine 611 method was employed. Excess of unreacted dibutylamine 612 was titrated with aqueous HCl against bromophenol blue 613 [19].

614 *Viscosity measurements.* Dynamic viscosity of oligomers 615 $A_n B_m$ was determined at 25 °C with the use of a rotational 616 Rheotest 2 viscometer (Rheotest GmbH). Cylinders of H type were used at the revolution range of 3b to 12a (range I), 617 depending on the type of product. No dependence of 618 viscosity on shear rate was observed in practice. 619

Structural analysis. IR spectra were recorded on a 620 Spekord M60 apparatus (Zeiss, Germany). The samples 621 were in the form of a thin film on polyethylene substrate. 622

Mass spectrometry (MS). The mass spectra of the 623 resulting polyurethane oligomers were recorded on an 624 electrospray ionisation spectrometer (ESI MS) Finnigan 625 MAT 95S (Germany) and on a MALDI MS spectrometer. 626 ESI spectra were obtained by using KJ solution for 627 ionisation. The mobile phase $(CH_3OH + THF)$ flow rate 628 was set up at $5 \,\mu dm^3/min$. Only the positive ions were 629 recorded in the range of 200-2000 M/z; their molecular 630 weight specifications were enlarged by the mass of K^+ (39) 631 or Na⁺ (22) originating essentially from impurities. The 632 samples were diluted with methanol to the concentration of 633 pmol/dm³. 634

MALDI spectra were recorded on a Voyager-Elite 635 (Perseptive Biosystems, USA) apparatus in linear mode 636 with delayed ion extraction. The instrument was equipped 637 with N₂ laser (337 nm) and the matrix was 2,5-dihydrox-638 ybenzoic acid (DHB, M = 153 g/mol) dissolved in THF 639 (10 mg/cm^3) . The samples were also dissolved in THF (to 640 concentration of 1 mg/cm³) and NaJ in acetone (10 mg/cm³) 641 was added. The ions with the mass increased by molecular 642 weights of Na or K were recorded. 643

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC). The GPC 644 apparatus used was a Viscotec T60A equipped with a triple 645 detector: RI, light scattering (LS) and viscosity detector 646 (DV). Separations were made at 30 \pm 0.1 °C on a GMH_{HR}L 647 column (size 7.8 mm × 300 mm) packed with TSK-gel of 648 pore diameter 5 µm from Thoso-Haas, and Styragel 1 and 2 649 (size 7.8 mm \times 300 mm) from Waters. The eluent (THF) 650 flow rate was 1 cm³/min, volume of inflow circuit— 651 $20 \,\mu dm^3$. Operation of the chromatograph was controlled 652 by original computer software TRISEC Data Acquisition 653 System by Viscotec Corporation; deconvolution was 654 possible for individual peaks which corresponded to non-655 homogeneous oligomers. The results were interpreted on the 656 basis of conventional calibration of columns with carbamate 657 standards which had been synthesised especially for that 658 purpose. Chromatographic analysis of standards yielded the 659 calibration relation for logarithm of mass versus retention 660 volume. 661

 $\log M = -0,1595 V_{\rm ret} + 6.8219 \tag{3}$

The differential curves for distribution of molecular weights 664 as obtained from sample elution curves and from the 665 calibration equation (3) were presented in the form of 666 standardized charts: detector signal divided by the total area 667 below the chromatogram - molecular weights of com-668 ponents. The internal standardization method was employed 669 to find quantitative compositions of prepolymers from 670 thus obtained chromatograms. A special computer software 671 Peak Fit v4 was utilised for that purpose which attributed 672

6

577

578

579

580

581

605

606

607

Table 2

Interpretation for GPC chromatograms of monomers and urethane prepolymers

Symbol of oligomer	$M_{\rm n}$ as per MWD curves	Compound	Theoretical molecular weight (g/mol)	Amount of component as calculated by standardisation of GPC chro-matograms (wt%)	Amount of component as calculated from reaction mass balance (wt%)	$PD = \bar{M}_{\rm w}/\bar{M}_{\rm n}$
BD	96.9	А	90	100		1.00
I-BDb	177.7	В	174.17	8.03		1.05
	459.6	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH^a$	502.34	87.49	77.18	
	748.9	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	766.51	4.47	22.82	
II-BDb	350.6	A_2B_1	354.17	6.92		1.16
	617.6	A_3B_2	618.34	65.96	79.16	
	884.5	A_4B_3	882.51	9.22	20.84	
	1146.1	A_5B_4	1146.68	13.34		
	1390.2	A ₆ B ₅	1410.85	2.57		
	1633.7	A ₇ B ₆	1675.02	1.99		
III-BDb	182.1	В	174.17	4.12		1.30
	267.6	$B + 2CH_3OH$	238.17	4.86	27.00	
	761.0	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	766.51	7.93	17.42	
	862.3	$A_3B_3 + CH_3OH$	824.51	4.49	55.60	
	1036.1	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	1030.68	53.09		
	1310.9	$A_4B_5 + 2CH_3OH$	1294.85	9.54		
	1570.1	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	1559.02	9.12		
	1817.7	$A_6B_7 + 2CH_3OH$	1823.19	6.85		
IV-BDb	178.2			6.03		1.62
	378.7	A_2B_1	354.17	0.80	27.91	
	894.1	A_4B_3	882.51	6.43	17.39	
	1148.1	A_5B_4	1146.68	33.95	54.28	
	1419.6	A_6B_5	1410.85	8.62		
	1665.4	A_7B_6	1675.02	9.33		
	1925.0	A_8B_7	1939.19	7.72		
	2161.6	A_9B_8	2203.36	8.95		
	2464.6	$A_{10}B_{9}$	2467.53	11.43		
	2807.3	$A_{11}B_{10}$	2731.70	6.71		
V-BDb	162.5	В	174.17	1.78		1.28
	727.5	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	766.51	0.92	26.80	
	995.6	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	1030.68	1.84	15.98	
	1190.7	$A_4B_5 + 2CH_3OH$	1294.85	4.58	48.28	
	1418.7	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	1559.02	39.47	8.93	
	1705.1	$A_6B_7 + 2CH_3OH$	1823.19	9.46		
	1932.0	$A_7B_8 + 2CH_3OH$	2087.36	9.84		
	2190.1	$A_8B_8 + CH_3OH$	2145.36	11.48		
	2435.1	$A_8B_9 + 2CH_3OH$	2351.53	4.41		
	2731.0	$A_9B_{10} + 2CH_3OH$	2615.70	3.02		
	3062.2	$A_{10}B_{11} + 2CH_3OH$	2879.87	2.62	/	.1
					(continu	ed on next page)
78 78 78 78		76 76 76 77	76 6 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	. 7 7 7 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	72 73 73

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

-

Table 2 (continued)						
Symbol of oligomer	$M_{\rm n}$ as per MWD curves	Compound	Theoretical molecular weight (g/mol)	Amount of component as calculated by standardisation of GPC chro-matograms (wt%)	Amount of component as calculated from reaction mass balance (wt%)	$PD = \bar{M}_{\rm w}/\bar{M}_{\rm r}$
	3455.7	$A_{11}B_{12} + 2CH_3OH$	3144.04	2.15		
POC200	105.0	٨	106(n-2)	4.80		1.07
F00200	117.8	A	100(n-2)	4.89		1.07
	117.0	A	150 (n-3)	40.54 23.63		
	186.3	Δ	150(n-5) 104(n-4)	14.95		
	214.7	Δ	238 (n = 5)	7.46		
	230.1	7 X	233(n = 3) 282 (n = 6)	2.53		
I-200b	170.5	В	174.17	20.00		1.31
	260.6	$B + 2CH_3OH$	238.17	4.33	63.51	
	529.0	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$562.34 (n_1 = 3)$	65.65	36.49	
	889.0	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	$886.51 \ (n_{1,2} = 3)$	10.02		
II-200b	359.3			0.94		1.36
	513.3	A_2B_1	562.17 $(n_{1,2} = 4)$	5.65	67.75	
	901.0	A_3B_2	$886.34 (n_1 = 3, n_{2,3} = 4)$	24.83	32.25	
	1305.1	A_4B_3	1298.51 $(n_{1-4} = 4)$	16.65		
	1673.0	A_5B_4	1666.68 $(n_{1-5} = 4)$	21.66		
	2088.8	A ₆ B ₅	2078.85 ($n_{1-5} = 4, n_6 = 5$)	16.25		
	2520.8	A_7B_6	2535.02 ($n_{1-4} = 4, n_{5-7} = 5$)	12.44		
	3072.9	A_8B_7	$3079.19 (n_1 = 4, n_{2-8} = 5)$	1.58		
III-200b	187.8	$B + 2CH_3OH$	238.17	1.00		1.43
	595.1	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$606.34 (n_1 = 4)$	2.52	36.46	
	962.5	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	974.51 $(n_{1,2} = 4)$	4.58	16.04	
	1361.7	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	1342.68 $(n_{1-3} = 4)$	5.47	47.50	
	1752.8	$A_4B_5 + 2CH_3OH$	1754.85 $(n_{1-3} = 4, n_4 = 5)$	28.06		
	2121.3	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	2123.02 ($n_{1-4} = 4, n_5 = 5$)	27.15		
	2668.4	$A_6B_7 + 2CH_3OH$	$2667.19 \ (n_1 = 4, n_{2-6} = 5)$	20.64		
	3519.1	$A_7B_8 + 2CH_3OH$	$3497.53 \ (n_{1-7} = 5)$	10.58		
IV-200b	105.9	А	106 (n = 2)	1.26		1.50
	482.6	A_2B_1	474.17 ($n_{1,2} = 3$)	1.64	38.36	
	846.6	A_3B_2	$886.34 \ (n_1 = 3, \ n_{2,3} = 4)$	2.05	15.98	
	1157.3	A_4B_3	1166.51 $(n_{1-3} = 3, n_4 = 4)$	1.94	45.66	
	1587.0	A_5B_4	1578.68 ($n_{1,2} = 3, n_{3-5} = 4$)	14.13		
	2029.3	A_6B_5	2034.85 $(n_{1-6} = 4)$	10.03		
	2400.1	A_7B_6	2403.02 $(n_{1-7} = 4)$	17.56		
	2979.1	A_8B_7	2947.19 ($n_{1-4} = 4, n_{5-8} = 5$)	20.25		
	3860.4	$A_{11}B_{10}$	$3875.70 (n_{1-11} = 4)$	19.24		

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

1.29

ARTICLE IN PRESS

JPOL 7487—4/6/2003—12:04—AWINDOW—73744— MODEL 5 – br,ed,cor

5276.8

326.5

629.10

1058.0

2177.9

V-200b

 $A_{14}B_{13}$

 $B + 2CH_3OH$

 $A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$

 $A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$

 $A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$

5282.38 ($n_{1-8} = 4, n_{9-14} = 5$)

2167.02 ($n_{1-3} = 4, n_{4,5} = 5$)

238.17

 $606.34 (n_1 = 4)$ $1062.51 (n_{1,2} = 4)$ 11.89

0.36

1.77

2.14

11.15

28.90

11.67

32.61

DL
7487-
-4/6/2003-
-12:04-
-AWINDC
)W—737
44
MODEL
ζ. I
- br,ed,cor

JP

3175.6 4369.2 5814.6 7230.1 POG300 130.3 164.9 215.3 260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 205.4	$A_{6}B_{7}$ $A_{7}B_{8}$ $A_{8}B_{9} + 2CH_{3}OH$ $A_{11}B_{12} + 2CH_{3}OH$ $A_{15}B_{16} + 2CH_{3}OH$ $A_{19}B_{20} + 2CH_{3}OH$ A	2383.19 $(n_{1-6} = 4)$ 2751.36 $(n_{1-7} = 4)$ 3183.53 $(n_{1-8} = 4)$ 4376.04 $(n_{1-9} = 4, n_{10-12} = 5)$ 5804.72 $(n_{1-14} = 4, n_{15} = 5)$ 7233.40 $(n_{1-19} = 4)$ 150 $(n = 3)$ 194 $(n = 4)$ 238 $(n = 5)$ 282 $(n = 6)$ 326 $(n = 7)$ 370 $(n = 8)$ 414 $(n = 9)$ 458 $(n = 10)$	15.89 21.78 20.44 26.47 10.30 13.79 17.64 17.89 16.11 11.78	26.82	1.02
3175.6 4369.2 5814.6 7230.1 POG300 130.3 164.9 215.3 260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7	A_7B_8 $A_8B_9 + 2CH_3OH$ $A_{11}B_{12} + 2CH_3OH$ $A_{15}B_{16} + 2CH_3OH$ $A_{19}B_{20} + 2CH_3OH$ A	2751.36 $(n_{1-7} = 4)$ 3183.53 $(n_{1-8} = 4)$ 4376.04 $(n_{1-9} = 4, n_{10-12} = 5)$ 5804.72 $(n_{1-14} = 4, n_{15} = 5)$ 7233.40 $(n_{1-19} = 4)$ 150 $(n = 3)$ 194 $(n = 4)$ 238 $(n = 5)$ 282 $(n = 6)$ 326 $(n = 7)$ 370 $(n = 8)$ 414 $(n = 9)$ 458 $(n = 10)$	15.89 21.78 20.44 26.47 10.30 13.79 17.64 17.89 16.11 11.78		1.02
3175.6 4369.2 5814.6 7230.1 POG300 130.3 164.9 215.3 260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7	$A_8B_9 + 2CH_3OH$ $A_{11}B_{12} + 2CH_3OH$ $A_{15}B_{16} + 2CH_3OH$ $A_{19}B_{20} + 2CH_3OH$ A	3183.53 $(n_{1-8} = 4)$ 4376.04 $(n_{1-9} = 4, n_{10-12} = 5)$ 5804.72 $(n_{1-14} = 4, n_{15} = 5)$ 7233.40 $(n_{1-19} = 4)$ 150 $(n = 3)$ 194 $(n = 4)$ 238 $(n = 5)$ 282 $(n = 6)$ 326 $(n = 7)$ 370 $(n = 8)$ 414 $(n = 9)$ 458 $(n = -10)$	15.89 21.78 20.44 26.47 10.30 13.79 17.64 17.89 16.11 11.78		1.02
4369.2 5814.6 7230.1 POG300 130.3 164.9 215.3 260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1025.4	$A_{11}B_{12} + 2CH_3OH$ $A_{15}B_{16} + 2CH_3OH$ $A_{19}B_{20} + 2CH_3OH$ A A A A A A A A $B + 2CH_3OH$	$4376.04 (n_{1-9} = 4, n_{10-12} = 5)$ $5804.72 (n_{1-14} = 4, n_{15} = 5)$ $7233.40 (n_{1-19} = 4)$ $150 (n = 3)$ $194 (n = 4)$ $238 (n = 5)$ $282 (n = 6)$ $326 (n = 7)$ $370 (n = 8)$ $414 (n = 9)$ $458 (n = 10)$	21.78 20.44 26.47 10.30 13.79 17.64 17.89 16.11 11.78		1.02
5814.6 7230.1 POG300 130.3 164.9 215.3 260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7	$A_{15}B_{16} + 2CH_3OH$ $A_{19}B_{20} + 2CH_3OH$ A A A A A A A A A $B + 2CH_3OH$	$5804.72 (n_{1-14} = 4, n_{15} = 5)$ $7233.40 (n_{1-19} = 4)$ $150 (n = 3)$ $194 (n = 4)$ $238 (n = 5)$ $282 (n = 6)$ $326 (n = 7)$ $370 (n = 8)$ $414 (n = 9)$ $458 (n = 10)$	20.44 26.47 10.30 13.79 17.64 17.89 16.11		1.02
7230.1 POG300 130.3 164.9 215.3 260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7	$A_{19}B_{20} + 2CH_{3}OH$ A A A A A A A A $B + 2CH_{3}OH$	7233.40 $(n_{1-19} = 4)$ 150 $(n = 3)$ 194 $(n = 4)$ 238 $(n = 5)$ 282 $(n = 6)$ 326 $(n = 7)$ 370 $(n = 8)$ 414 $(n = 9)$ 458 $(n = 10)$	26.47 10.30 13.79 17.64 17.89 16.11		1.02
POG300 130.3 164.9 215.3 260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1250.1	A A A A A A A A $B + 2CH_{3}OH$	150 (n = 3) $194 (n = 4)$ $238 (n = 5)$ $282 (n = 6)$ $326 (n = 7)$ $370 (n = 8)$ $414 (n = 9)$ $458 (n = 10)$	10.30 13.79 17.64 17.89 16.11		1.02
164.9 215.3 260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1020.4	A A A A A A A $B + 2CH_{3}OH$	$ \begin{array}{l} 194 (n = 4) \\ 238 (n = 5) \\ 282 (n = 6) \\ 326 (n = 7) \\ 370 (n = 8) \\ 414 (n = 9) \\ 458 (n = 10) \end{array} $	13.79 17.64 17.89 16.11		
215.3 260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7	A A A A A A $B + 2CH_{3}OH$	238 (n = 5) 282 (n = 6) 326 (n = 7) 370 (n = 8) 414 (n = 9) 458 (n = 10)	17.64 17.89 16.11		
260.4 303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1618.7	A A A A A $B + 2CH_{3}OH$	282 (n = 6) 326 (n = 7) 370 (n = 8) 414 (n = 9) 458 (n = 10)	17.89 16.11 11.78		
303.0 343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1618.7	A A A A $B + 2CH_{3}OH$	326 (n = 7) 370 (n = 8) 414 (n = 9) 458 (n = 10)	16.11		
343.8 387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1053.4	A A A $B + 2CH_{3}OH$	370 (n = 8) 414 (n = 9) 458 (n = 10)	11.78		
387.7 456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 163.7	A A $B + 2CH_3OH$	414 (n = 9) 458 (n = 10)	11.70		
456.6 I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1050.4	A B + 2CH ₃ OH	458 (n - 10)	7.25		
I-300b 207.6 607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1618.7	$B + 2CH_3OH$	438(n = 10)	5.24		
607.2 1032.7 II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1618.7		238.17	2.20		1.12
II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1618.7	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	650.34 (n = 5)	82.83	66.40	
II-300b 1245.6 1667.6 2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1618.7	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	$1062.51 \ (n=5)$	14.97	33.60	
III-300b IIII-300b II	A_3B_2	$1238.34 (n_{1,2} = 6, n_3 = 7)$	35.50	71.27	1.19
2072.3 2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1618.7	A_4B_3	1694.51 $(n_{1-3} = 6, n_4 = 7)$	10.18	28.73	
2661.5 3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1629.4	A_5B_4	$2106.68 \ (n_{1-5} = 6)$	28.94		
3212.3 3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1629.4	A_6B_5	2694.85 ($n_{1-3} = 6, n_{4-6} = 7$)	15.47		
3778.5 III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7 1020.4	A_7B_6	$3239.02 (n_{1,2} = 6, n_{3-7} = 7)$	6.93		
III-300b 539.0 890.1 1250.1 1618.7	A_8B_7	3783.19 ($n_1 = 6, n_{2-8} = 7$)	2.98		
890.1 1250.1 1618.7			3.26		1.21
1250.1 1618.7	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$826.34 (n_1 = 9)$	3.82	46.03	
1618.7	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	1238.51 $(n_{1,2} = 7)$	28.49	16.95	
1000 4	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	$1606.68 \ (n_{1-3} = 6)$	12.55	37.02	
1928.4	$A_4B_5 + 2CH_3OH$	1930.85 $(n_{1-3} = 5, n_4 = 6)$	21.20		
2340.5	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	$2343.02 \ (n_{1-4} = 5, \ n_5 = 6)$	15.19		
2784.5	$A_6B_7 + 2CH_3OH$	2799.19 ($n_{1-4} = 5, n_{5-6} = 6$)	10.51		
3315.5	$A_7B_8 + 2CH_3OH$	$3343.36 (n_{1-2} = 5, n_{3-7} = 6)$	4.97		
IV-300b 259.1	А	282 $(n = 6)$	1.89		1.25
1240.8	A_3B_2	$1238.34 (n_{1,2} = 6, n_3 = 7)$	2.40	48.38	
1797.5	A_4B_3	1782.51 $(n_1 = 6, n_{2-4} = 7)$	17.78	16.71	
2282.0	A_5B_4	2194.68 ($n_{1-3} = 6, n_{4-5} = 7$)	25.66	34.94	
2733.8	A_6B_5	2694.85 ($n_{1-3} = 6, n_{4-6} = 7$)	31.67		
3261.0	A_7B_6	$3151.02 (n_{1-4} = 6, n_{5-7} = 7)$	20.60		
V-300b 180.00	$B + 2CH_3OH$	238.17	3.42		1.53
1753.4	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	1738.68 $(n_{1-3} = 7)$	3.26	15.32	
2387.0	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	2387.02 ($n_{1-3} = 5, n_{4-5} = 6$)	12.46	5.16	
				(continue	ed on next page

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

و ^{(ز}

0550 060 061 062 063	053 054 055 055 056 057	047 048 049 050 051 052	041 042 043 044 044 045	034 035 036 036 037 037 037 037 038 038 039	024 025 026 027 027 027 028 028 028 029 029 029 029 0230 031 031	014 015 016 017 017 017 017 019 019 019 019 019 019 019 020 022 022	009 010 011 011 012 012
Table 2 (continued)							
Symbol of oligomer	$M_{\rm n}$ as per MWD cu	arves Compound	Theoretica	l molecular weight (g/mol)	Amount of component as calculated by standardisation o GPC chro-matograms (wt%)	Amount of component as f calculated from reaction mass balance (wt%)	$PD = \bar{M}_{\rm w}/\bar{M}_{\rm n}$

2975.19 ($n_{1-6} = 6$) 3739.36 $(n_{1-7} = 7)$

 $3823.53 (n_{1-8} = 6)$

106 (n = 2)

150 (n = 3)

194 (n = 4)

238 (n = 5)

282 (n = 6)

326 (n = 7)

370 (n = 8)

414 (n = 9)

458 (n = 10)

4455.70 ($n_{1-5} = 6, n_{6-9} = 7$)

21.63

29.04

30.21

0.98

5.44

10.03

14.67

17.46

17.00

14.06

10.23

6.34

10.61

68.90

r -
.
14

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

POG400

3017.2

3732.1

4442.9

79.5

133.4

179.7

226.0

270.8

314.2

357.5

401.9

451.6

 $A_6B_7 + 2CH_3OH$

 $A_7B_8 + 2CH_3OH$

 $A_9B_{10} + 2CH_3OH$

 A_8B_9

А

А

Α

Α

A

Α

Α

Α

А

514.2 А 502 (n = 11)3.78 I-400b 201.9 $B + 2CH_3OH$ 238.17 1.23 1.24 82.87 811.9 $A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$ $826.17 (n_1 = 9)$ 75.16 1413.7 $A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$ 1414.51 $(n_{1,2} = 9)$ 23.60 17.13 316.4 II-400b А 326 (n = 7)1.51 1.60 86.19 858.0 A_2B_1 870.17 ($n_1 = 7, n_2 = 8$) 10.87 1527.1 A_3B_2 $1502.34 (n_{1,2} = 8, n_3 = 9)$ 36.46 13.82 2114.8 A_4B_3 2090.51 ($n_{1,2} = 8, n_{3,4} = 9$) 23.50 2704.4 A_5B_4 2678.68 ($n_{1,2} = 8, n_{3-5} = 9$) 20.41 3450.5 A_6B_5 7.24 $3442.85 (n_{1-4} = 9, n_{5.6} = 10)$ III-400b 108.1 В 174.17 1.34 1.67 862.1 $A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$ 870.17 ($n_1 = 10$) 13.97 81.06 2078.3 $A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$ 2046.68 ($n_{1,2} = 9, n_3 = 10$) 43.78 12.34 3005.0 A_4B_5 2526.85 $(n_{1-4} = 9)$ 40.92 6.60 $A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$ $3003.02 (n_{1-4} = 8, n_5 = 9)$ IV-400b 319.7 А 326 (n = 7)2.31 1.30 439.2 2.32 А 458 (n = 10) 923.2 914.17 $(n_{1,2} = 8)$ 4.76 A_2B_1 3.94 1534.5 A_3B_2 $1502.34 (n_{1,2} = 8, n_3 = 9)$ 2118.3 22.46 A_4B_3 2090.51 ($n_{1,2} = 8, n_{3,4} = 9$) 82.38 2850.5 A_5B_4 22.74 2854.68 ($n_{1-3} = 9, n_{4,5} = 10$) 3544.6 A_6B_5 $3530.85 (n_{1,2} = 9, n_{3-6} = 10)$ 24.13 11.67 A_7B_6 3943.02 ($n_{1-7} = 9$) 5.95 4363.5 17.34 A_8B_7 $4355.02 (n_{1-4} = 8, n_{5-8} = 9)$ 1.39 V-400b 756.5 $A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$ 782.17 ($n_1 = 8$) 1.17 2136.6 4.28 $A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$ $2134.68 (n_{1-3} = 10)$ 3074.1 $A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$ $3047.02 (n_{1-3} = 8, n_{4.5} = 9)$ 21.43 41.97 4623.5 A_6B_7 3703.19 $(n_{1-6} = 9)$ 5.82 1118 1119 1120 1117 1115 1116 1114 1113 1112 1111 1109 1108 1107 1106 1105 1099 1100 1086 1087 1088 1088 1090 1091 1092 1092 1094 1095 1095 1110 1104 1103 1102 1101

10

1.12

$\begin{array}{c} 1121\\ 1122\\ 1123\\ 1124\\ 1126\\ 1127\\ 1128\\ 1128\\ 1129\\ 1128\\ 1128\\ 1129\\ 1130\\ 1131\\ 1132\\ 1132\\ 1133\\ 1134\\ 1132\\ 1133\\ 1134\\ 1136\\ 1137\\ 1138\\ 1136\\ 1137\\ 1138\\ 1136\\ 1141\\ 1142\\ 1157\\ 1148\\ 1157\\ 1166\\ 1157\\ 1166\\ 1167\\ 1166\\ 1167\\ 1168\\ 1166\\ 1166\\ 1167\\ 1168\\ 1166\\ 1166\\ 1167\\ 1168\\ 1166\\$

JFOL	IDOI
/ 40 /	LOVL
-4/0/200-	
0-12.04	12.04
-/.0/+++	12711
	MODEL
را	Л
or,eu,cor	he ad one

POG 600 53 I-600b 26 IC IT 24 II-600b 55 21 29 36 45 III-600b 18	534.8 264.2 1014.9 1792.2 2456.4 557.2 2188.2 2907.8 5600.2 1543.5 186.6	$\begin{array}{c} A_7B_8 + 2CH_3OH\\ A_8B_9 \\ A\\ B + 2CH_3OH\\ A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH\\ A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH\\ A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH\\ A\\ A_3B_2\\ A_4B_3\\ A_5B_4\\ A_6B_5 \end{array}$	4619.36 $(n_1 = 9, n_{2-7} = 10)$ 4879.53 $(n_{1-8} = 9)$ 546 $(n = 12)$ 238.17 1002.34 $(n_1 = 13)$ 1766.51 $(n_{1,2} = 13)$ 2442.68 $(n_{1,2} = 12, n_3 = 13)$ 546 $(n = 12)$ 2162.34 $(n_{1,2} = 13, n_3 = 14)$ 2882.51 $(n_{1-4} = 13)$ 3602.68 $(n_1 = 12, n_2 = 13)$	73.12 100 3.93 76.92 16.67 2.49 13.24 38.20	2.92 49.29 88.97 11.03	1.03 1.21 1.66
POG 600 55 I-600b 26 IC I7 24 II-600b 55 21 25 36 45 III-600b 18	534.8 264.2 1014.9 1792.2 2456.4 557.2 2188.2 2907.8 5600.2 1543.5 86.6	$\begin{array}{l} A \\ B + 2 C H_3 O H \\ A_1 B_2 + 2 C H_3 O H \\ A_2 B_3 + 2 C H_3 O H \\ A_3 B_4 + 2 C H_3 O H \\ A \\ A_3 B_2 \\ A_4 B_3 \\ A_5 B_4 \\ A_6 B_5 \end{array}$	546 $(n = 12)$ 238.17 1002.34 $(n_1 = 13)$ 1766.51 $(n_{1,2} = 13)$ 2442.68 $(n_{1,2} = 12, n_3 = 13)$ 546 $(n = 12)$ 2162.34 $(n_{1,2} = 13, n_3 = 14)$ 2882.51 $(n_{1-4} = 13)$ 3602.68 $(n_1 = 12, n_2, n_3 = 13)$	100 3.93 76.92 16.67 2.49 13.24 38.20	88.97 11.03 91.50	1.03 1.21 1.66
I-600b 26 10 17 24 II-600b 55 21 29 36 45 III-600b 18	264.2 1014.9 1792.2 2456.4 557.2 2188.2 2907.8 3600.2 4543.5 86.6	$\begin{array}{l} B + 2 C H_3 O H \\ A_1 B_2 + 2 C H_3 O H \\ A_2 B_3 + 2 C H_3 O H \\ A_3 B_4 + 2 C H_3 O H \\ A \\ A_3 B_2 \\ A_4 B_3 \\ A_5 B_4 \\ A_6 B_5 \end{array}$	238.17 1002.34 $(n_1 = 13)$ 1766.51 $(n_{1,2} = 13)$ 2442.68 $(n_{1,2} = 12, n_3 = 13)$ 546 $(n = 12)$ 2162.34 $(n_{1,2} = 13, n_3 = 14)$ 2882.51 $(n_{1-4} = 13)$ 3602.68 $(n_1 = 12, n_2, n_3 = 13)$	3.93 76.92 16.67 2.49 13.24 38.20	88.97 11.03 91.50	1.21
10 17 24 11-600b 55 21 29 36 45 111-600b 18	1014.9 1792.2 2456.4 557.2 2188.2 2907.8 3600.2 4543.5 86.6	$\begin{array}{c} A_{1}B_{2}+2CH_{3}OH\\ A_{2}B_{3}+2CH_{3}OH\\ A_{3}B_{4}+2CH_{3}OH\\ A\\ A_{3}B_{2}\\ A_{4}B_{3}\\ A_{5}B_{4}\\ A_{6}B_{5} \end{array}$	1002.34 $(n_1 = 13)$ 1766.51 $(n_{1,2} = 13)$ 2442.68 $(n_{1,2} = 12, n_3 = 13)$ 546 $(n = 12)$ 2162.34 $(n_{1,2} = 13, n_3 = 14)$ 2882.51 $(n_{1-4} = 13)$ 3602.68 $(n_1 = 12, n_2, c = 13)$	76.92 16.67 2.49 13.24 38.20	88.97 11.03 91.50	1.66
17 24 II-600b 55 21 29 36 45 III-600b 18	1792.2 2456.4 557.2 2188.2 2907.8 3600.2 4543.5 86.6	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$ $A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$ A A_3B_2 A_4B_3 A_5B_4 A_6B_5	1766.51 $(n_{1,2} = 13)$ 2442.68 $(n_{1,2} = 12, n_3 = 13)$ 546 $(n = 12)$ 2162.34 $(n_{1,2} = 13, n_3 = 14)$ 2882.51 $(n_{1-4} = 13)$ 3602.68 $(n_1 = 12, n_2, c = 13)$	16.67 2.49 13.24 38.20	91.50	1.66
24 II-600b 55 21 29 36 45 III-600b 18	2456.4 557.2 2188.2 2907.8 3600.2 4543.5 86.6	$A_{3}B_{4} + 2CH_{3}OH$ A $A_{3}B_{2}$ $A_{4}B_{3}$ $A_{5}B_{4}$ $A_{6}B_{5}$	2442.68 $(n_{1,2} = 12, n_3 = 13)$ 546 $(n = 12)$ 2162.34 $(n_{1,2} = 13, n_3 = 14)$ 2882.51 $(n_{1-4} = 13)$ 3602.68 $(n_1 = 12, n_2, c = 13)$	2.49 13.24 38.20	91 50	1.66
II-600b 55 21 25 36 45 III-600b 18	557.2 2188.2 2907.8 6600.2 1543.5 86.6	$\begin{array}{c} A \\ A_{3}B_{2} \\ A_{4}B_{3} \\ A_{5}B_{4} \\ A_{6}B_{5} \end{array}$	546 ($n = 12$) 2162.34 ($n_{1,2} = 13, n_3 = 14$) 2882.51 ($n_{1-4} = 13$) 3602.68 ($n_1 = 12, n_2, n_3 = 13$)	13.24 38.20	91 50	1.66
21 29 36 45 III-600b 18	2188.2 2907.8 3600.2 4543.5 86.6	$\begin{array}{c} A_3B_2\\ A_4B_3\\ A_5B_4\\ A_6B_5 \end{array}$	2162.34 $(n_{1,2} = 13, n_3 = 14)$ 2882.51 $(n_{1-4} = 13)$ 3602.68 $(n_1 = 12, n_2, n_3 = 13)$	38.20	91.50	
29 36 45 III-600b 18	2907.8 3600.2 4543.5 86.6	$\begin{array}{c} A_4B_3\\ A_5B_4\\ A_6B_5 \end{array}$	$2882.51 (n_{1-4} = 13)$ 3602.68 (n_1 = 12, n_2 = 13)		1100	
36 45 III-600b 18	3600.2 1543.5 186.6	$\begin{array}{c} A_5B_4 \\ A_6B_5 \end{array}$	$3602.68 (n_1 = 12, n_2 = 13)$	9.36	8.50	
45 III-600b 18	4543.5 186.6	A ₆ B ₅		25.70		
III-600b 18	86.6		4542.85 ($n_{1-3} = 13, n_{4-6} = 14$)	13.50		
		$B + 2CH_3OH$	238.17	0.81		1.64
10	045.7	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$1046.34 (n_1 = 14)$	5.38	33.74	
17	747.6	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	1766.51 ($n_{1,2} = 13$)	5.29	3.02	
26	2635.7	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	2618.68 ($n_1 = 13, n_{2,3} = 14$)	31.38	63.24	
		A_4B_5	$3230.85 \ (n_{1-4} = 13)$			
38	3843.6	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	$3839.02 \ (n_{1-4} = 12, n_5 = 13)$	20.36		
50	5081.6	$A_6B_7 + 2CH_3OH$	$5087.19 \ (n_{1-6} = 14)$	36.77		
IV-600b 51	516.6	А	546 $(n = 12)$	5.28		1.50
13	1306.5	A_2B_1	1310.17 ($n_1 = 12, n_2 = 13$)	6.89	36.69	
22	2244.9	A_3B_2	$2250.34 \ (n_{1-3} = 14)$	7.59	3.05	
30	3010.9	A_4B_3	$3014.51 \ (n_1 = 13, n_{2-4} = 14)$	9.65	60.26	
38	3892.4	A_5B_4	$3866.68 (n_{1-5} = 14)$	15.18		
10	1020.2	A_6B_5	$4410.85 (n_{1-6} = 13)$	19.67		
49	1939.2 122.5	A7B6	$4955.02 (n_{1-5} = 12, n_{6,7} = 13)$	25.71		
01)125.5	A_8D_7	$0113.19 \ (n_{1-4} = 13, n_{5-8} = 14)$	55.71		
V-600b 10	091.6	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$1090.34 (n_1 = 15)$	0.46		1.23
17	798.9	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	1766.51 $(n_{1,2} = 13)$	1.55		
26	2698.5	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	$2662.68 \ (n_{1-3} = 14)$	4.47		
32	3228.8	$A_4B_5 + 2CH_3OH$	$3250.85 (n_1 = 12, n_{2-4} = 13)$	11.65		
42	1274.3	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	$4235.02 (n_1 = 13, n_{2-5} = 14)$	22.21	8.70	
54	6026	A_6B_7	$4/59.19 (n_{1-6} = 13)$	20.21	0.71	
64	5404.4	$A_7B_8 + 2CH_3OH$ $A_8B_9 + 2CH_3OH$	$5075.50 (n_{1-5} = 15, n_{6,7} = 14)$ $6395.53 (n_{1-7} = 13, n_8 = 14)$	30.45	76.65	
POG 1000 10	092.5	A	1074 (n = 24)	100		1.10
I-1000b 27	276.4	$B + 2CH_3OH$	238.17	2.79		1.37
76	768.9			2.40		
14	448.4	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	1442.34 $(n_1 = 23)$	63.79	67.08	
			· - ·		(continue	ed on next page

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

11

<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	-	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-				,	<u> </u>		-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	-	-	. <u> </u>	. <u> </u>			_ ,		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
288	287	286	285	284	283	282	281	280	279	278	277	276	275	274	273	272	271	270	269	200	268	267	266	265	264	263	262	261	260	259	258	257	256	255	254	253	252	251	250	249	248	247	246	245	244	243		242	241	240	239	238	237	236	235	234	233

Table 2 (continued)						
Symbol of oligomer	$M_{\rm n}$ as per MWD curves	Compound	Theoretical molecular weight (g/mol)	Amount of component as calculated by standardisation of GPC chro-matograms (wt%)	Amount of component as calculated from reaction mass balance (wt%)	$PD = \bar{M}_{\rm w}/\bar{M}_{\rm r}$
	2473.2	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	2470.51 $(n_{1,2} = 21)$	23.97	32.92	
	3294.5	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	$3586.68 (n_{1-3} = 21)$	7.06		
II-1000b	357.0			2.27		1.35
	907.4	А	942 ($n = 21$)	3.80		
	2367.4	A_2B_1	2322.17 $(n_{1,2} = 24)$	13.40		
	3235.1	A_3B_2	$3218.34 (n_{12} = 21, n_3 = 22)$	32.50	73.84	
	4329.6	A_4B_3	$4378.51 (n_{1,2} = 21, n_{3,4} = 22)$	28.72	26.16	
	5456.8	A_5B_4	5450.68 $(n_{1-4} = 21, n_5 = 22)$	19.31		
III-1000b	197.6	$B + 2CH_3OH$	238.17	3.15		1.35
	1398.5	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$1398.34 (n_1 = 22)$	6.74		
	2467.2	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	2470.51 $(n_{1,2} = 21)$	11.07		
	3575.1	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	$3586.68 (n_{1-3} = 21)$	24.54	43.21	
	4836.9	$A_4B_5 + 2CH_3OH$	$4878.85 (n_{1-4} = 22)$	26.21	14.93	
	6306.7	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	$6303.02 \ (n_{1-4} = 23, n_5 = 24)$	28.29	41.86	
IV-1000b	1937.2	A_2B_1	$2058.17 (n_{1,2} = 21)$	3.02		1.44
	3019.1	A_3B_2	$3174.34 (n_{1-3} = 21)$	8.82		
	4501.6	A_4B_3	$4510.51 (n_{1-3} = 22, n_4 = 23)$	12.09		
	5618.9	A_5B_4	$5626.68 (n_{1-5} = 22)$	21.26	46.45	
	6752.8	A_6B_5	$6786.85 (n_{1-6} = 22)$	22.42	14.68	
	8024.5	A ₇ B ₆	8035.02 ($n_{1-5} = 22, n_{6,7} = 23$)	32.40	38.86	
V-1000b	375.4	$B + 2CH_3OH$	238.17	4.08		2.37
	2068.8	$A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH$	2470.51 $(n_{1,2} = 21)$	7.55		
	3295.8	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	3586.68 $(n_{1-3} = 21)$	10.45		
	4571.8	$A_4B_5 + 2CH_3OH$	4702.85 $(n_{1-4} = 21)$	25.92		
	6235.8	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	$6259.02 \ (n_{1-5} = 23)$	32.18	39.25	
	8331	A_6B_7	7135.19 $(n_{1-6} = 22)$		12.28	
		$A_7B_8 + 2CH_3OH$	$8359.19 (n_{1-7} = 22)$	17.82	32.28	
		A_8B_9	9455.53 ($n_{1-8} = 22$)		16.19	
PCD	113.2	А	116 $(n = 0)$	7.06		1.69
530	173.8			8.46		
	242.0	А	220 $(n = 1)$	8.98		
	315.2	А	334 (n = 2)	9.04		
	392.2			8.81		
	473.7	А	471 ($n = 3$)	8.49		
	558.8	А	585 $(n = 4)$	8.08		
	647.7	А	699 (n = 5)	7.52		
	740.7			6.90		
	838.9	А	813 $(n = 6)$	6.27		
	944.2	А	927 $(n = 7)$	5.57		
	1058.1	А	$1041 \ (n = 8)$	4.76		
	1181.7	А	1155 (n = 9)	3.79		

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Table 2	(continued))
I dole L	(communea)	

,	$M_{\rm n}$ as per MwD curves	Compound	Theoretical molecular weight (g/mol)	Amount of component as calculated by standardisation of GPC chro-matograms (wt%)	Amount of component as calculated from reaction mass balance (wt%)	$PD = M_{\rm w}/M$
	1317.2	А	1383 $(n = 11)$	2.79		
	1466.8	А	1497 ($n = 12$)	1.87		
	1635.4	А	$1611 \ (n = 13)$	1.09		
	1834.0	А	1725 ($n = 14$)	0.52		
I-530b	397.8	B_2	412	7.94		1.22
	548.5	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$541.34 (n_1 = 0)$	8.92	95.35	
	750.0	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	769.34 $(n_1 = 2)$	14.40		
	882.5	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$883.34 (n_1 = 3)$	10.11		
	1014.9	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	997.34 $(n_1 = 4)$	8.95		
	1135.4	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$1111.34 (n_1 = 5)$	8.14		
	1263.4	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$1225.34 (n_1 = 6)$	7.29		
	1404.8	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$1453.34(n_1 = 8)$	6.75		
	1562.6	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$1569.34 (n_1 = 9)$	6.29		
	1736.7	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_2OH$	$1795.34 (n_1 = 11)$	5.78		
	1921.1	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$1909.34 (n_1 = 12)$	5.04		
	2161.8	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_2OH$	$2137.34 (n_1 = 14)$	4.16		
	2421.2	$A_2B_2 + 2CH_2OH$	$2490.51 (n_{1,2} = 11)$	3.14	4.65	
	2695.2	$A_2B_2 + 2CH_2OH$	$2718.51 (n_{1,2} = 13)$	2.04		
	2994.0	$A_2B_2 + 2CH_2OH$	$2946.51 (n_{1,2} = 15)$	1.04		
II-530b	1937 4	A ₂ B ₂	$1875 34 (n_{1,2} = 3, n_2 = 4)$	100	90.44	1.83
	1,0,11	A_4B_3	$2862.51 (n_{1-4} = 4)$	100	9.56	1100
III-530b	1219.4	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$1225.34 (n_1 = 6)$	16.49		1.61
	2287.1	$A_2B_4 + 2CH_2OH$	2223.68 $(n_{1,2} = 3, n_3 = 4)$	41.64	70.45	
		A ₄ B ₅	$3210.85 (n_{1,4} = 4)$		6.69	
	3837.5	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH$	$3856.02 \ (n_1 = 3, n_{2-5} = 4)$	41.87	22.86	
IV-530b	1247.3	A_2B_1	$1230.17 (n_1 = 3, n_2 = 4)$	10.42		1.26
	2036.6	A ₃ B ₂	$1989.34 (n_1 = 3, n_{2,3} = 4)$	17.71		
	3323.0	A ₅ B ₄	$3279.68 (n_{1,2} = 3, n_{4,5} = 4)$	36.01	71.88	
	4213.9	A ₆ B ₅	$4152.85 (n_{12} = 3, n_{23} = 4)$	35.87	6.32	
		A_7B_6	$5140.02 (n_{1-7} = 4)$		21.81	
V-530b	2376.3	$A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	2337.68 $(n_1 = 3, n_{2,2} = 4)$	21.76		1.12
	3777.1	$A_5B_6 + 2CH_2OH$	$3742.02 (n_{1,2} = 3, n_{2,6} = 4)$	52.92	15.58	
	4553.7	$A_6B_7 + 2CH_3OH$	$4501.19 (n_{1,2} = 3, n_{3-6} = 4)$	25.32	1.35	
		A ₇ B ₉	$5488.36 (n_{1,2} = 4)$		4.65	
		A_8B_9	$6247.53 (n_{1-8} = 4)$		78.42	
PCD 1250	1414.5	А	1383 $(n = 11)$	100		1.20
I-1250b	2049.4	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	$2004.34 (n_1 = 14)$	100	95.14	1.73
		A_2B_3	$3288.51 \ (n_{1,2} = 11)$		4.86	
II-1250b	1639.7	А	1611 $(n = 13)$	9.25		1.26
					(continue	ed on next page

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

Table 2 (continued)								
Symbol of oligomer	$M_{\rm n}$ as per MWD curves	Compound	Theoretical molecular weight (g/mol)	Amount of component as calculated by standardisation of GPC chro-matograms (wt%)	Amount of component as calculated from reaction mass balance (wt%)	$PD = \bar{M}_{\rm w} / \bar{M}_{\rm n}$		
	2452.8 3382.6 4440.4 5714.4	$\begin{array}{c} A_2B_1\\ A_2B_1\\ A_3B_2\\ A_4B_3 \end{array}$	2484.17 $(n_{1,2} = 9)$ 3396.17 $(n_{1,2} = 13)$ 4497.11 $(n_{1-3} = 11)$ 5712.51 $(n_{1-3} = 10, n_4 = 11)$	11.79 16.73 33.55 28.66	96.52 3.48			
III-1250b	4685.1 6601.2	$\begin{array}{l} A_3B_4+2CH_3OH\\ A_4B_5+2CH_3OH\\ A_5B_6 \end{array}$	4617.68 $(n_{1,2} = 10, n_3 = 11)$ 6630,85 $(n_{1,2} = 11, n_{3,4} = 12)$ 7960.02 $(n_{1-5} = 11)$	41.71 58.29	95.29 3.37 1.34	1.51		
IV-1250b	3582.9 4675.0 5883.3 7225.0 8716.8	$\begin{array}{c} A_2B_1 \\ A_3B_2 \\ A_4B_3 \\ A_5B_4 \\ A_6B_5 \\ A_7B_6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 3510.17 \ (n_1 = 13, \ n_2 = 14) \\ 4611.34 \ (n_{1,2} = 11, \ n_3 = 12) \\ 5826.51 \ (n_{1,2} = 10, \ n_{3,4} = 11) \\ 7155.68 \ (n_{1-4} = 10, \ n_5 = 11) \\ 8712.85 \ (n_{1-4} = 10, \ n_{5,6} = 11) \\ 10726.02 \ (n_{1-7} = 11) \end{array}$	5.99 9.56 14.37 24.93 45.14	96.60 3.12 0.28	1.11		
V-1250b	3173.3 4047.9 6296.4 8308.3 9552.2	$\begin{array}{l} A_2B_3 + 2CH_3OH \\ A_3B_4 + 2CH_3OH \\ A_4B_5 + 2CH_3OH \\ A_5B_6 + 2CH_3OH \\ A_6B_7 + 2CH_3OH \\ A_7B_8 \\ A_8B_9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 3174.51 \ (n_{1}=10, n_{2}=11) \\ 4047.68 \ (n_{1}=8, n_{2,3}=9) \\ 6288.85 \ (n_{1}=10, n_{2-4}=11) \\ 8302.02 \ (n_{1,2}=11, n_{3-5}=12) \\ 9517.19 \ (n_{1-6}=11) \\ 11074.36 \ (n_{1-7}=11) \\ 12631.53 \ (n_{1-8}=11) \end{array}$	4.56 9.31 16.06 34.12 35.99	79.66 2.56 0.23 17.56	1.17		

 $\begin{array}{l} || 457 \\ || 463 \\ || 464 \\ || 465 \\ || 466 \\ || 467 \\ || 466 \\ || 467 \\ || 466 \\ || 467 \\ || 466 \\ || 467 \\ || 466 \\ || 467 \\ || 466 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 466 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 467 \\ || 477 \\ || 467 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 476 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 477 \\ || 487 \\ || 477 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 487 \\ || 48$

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

^a Designations: (1) n_i (i = 2-14)—theoritically calculated polymerisation degree (DP) in POG or PCD; (2) $A_n B_{n+1} + 2CH_3 OH$ —provides the information that the saved file corresponds to the isocyanate prepolymer $A_n B_{n+1}$ which has been blocked on both sides with methanol (when samples were being prepared for analysis)

non-linear chromatography (NLC) functions to curves after
their deconvolution. The obtained results are presented in
Table 2.

- 1572
- 1572
- 1574

4. Discussion of results

1576

It was very important for the suggested method that the 1577 polyurethane mixture had to be purified carefully, i.e. 1578 unreacted diisocyanate and polyol monomers had to be 1579 removed therefrom. That can be accomplished by con-1580 servative evaporation in vacuum evaporators, what is not so 1581 frequently employed in practice, or by means of selective 1582 extraction [20]. The latter method was adopted for our work. 1583 It was necessary to find selective extraction solvents for 1584 every stage of the step-by-step polyaddition process, both 1585 for TDI and for hydroxyl monomers. Different polarity 1586 specifications of BD, POG and PCD had to be taken into 1587 consideration for that process [13]. 1588

Extraction was continued until the content of -NCO 1589 groups was obtained which conformed to that calculated 1590 theoretically. Fig. 4 shows diagrams for determined 1591 concentrations of -NCO groups in synthesised isocyanate 1592 products before and after extraction. The experimental 1593 values can be seen close to those calculated from the 1594 material balance-excess TDI must have been completely 1595 washed away from the products in practice. The presence of 1596 unconverted monomers was found to have a strong impact 1597 on viscosity of products obtained at successive stages of the 1598 polymerisation process: those monomers were masking 1599 essential changes in actual viscosities of products which 1600 1601 were caused both by the use of polyols with higher and

higher molecular weights, and by the increasing molecular 1625 weight of oligourethanes. 1626

It is apparent from Fig. 5 that the effects of those factors 1627 can be observed only after monomers have been separated. 1628 Viscosity figures for oligourethanes with -OH terminal 1629 groups were found to be higher than those for their 1630 isocyanate-terminated analogues and they generally become 1631 smaller when polyols used for the reaction have higher 1632 molecular weights. The IR spectra confirmed the expected 1633 structures of the obtained products. 1634

As results from the examples of IR spectra (Figs. 6 and 1635 7), the structures of oligomers obtained from the same 1636 polyols at odd stages (isocyanate prepolymers) and at even 1637 stages (urethane-hydroxyl prepolymers) were-as 1638 expected-very much similar within individual groups of 1639 compounds. Hence, the most essential differences should 1640 result solely from the sizes of molecules of individual 1641 oligomers. The band at about 2272 cm^{-1} which represents 1642 asymmetrical stretching vibrations of -NCO groups is the 1643 most specific band for isocyanates and it can be observed in 1644 case of isocyanate oligomers $A_n B_{n+1}$ only. On the other 1645 hand, the band for stretching vibrations of -OH groups at 1646 3450 cm⁻¹ appears only in spectra obtained for hydroxyl 1647 oligomers $A_{n+1}B_n$. When the molecular weight of an 1648 oligomer increases, absorption intensity decreases for both 1649 these bands, while higher intensities are observed for the 1650 amide I band (at 1730 cm^{-1}) and amide II band (at 1651 1536 cm^{-1}). The former is shifted towards lower frequency 1652 values which proves the presence of hydrogen bonds, and 1653 the latter represents the combination of scissoring vibrations 1654 in -N-H and stretching vibrations in -C-N groups [21, 1655 22]. 1656

No band was found at 2130 cm^{-1} in IR spectra which



P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx



could represent carbodiimides, and a wide band was observed at $1695-1755 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (max. at $1725-1730 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) which in theory could represent urethidiones formed during dimerisation of isocyanates. On the other hand, the band at 1410 cm^{-1} , which is attributed to isocyanurates, appears in some spectra only and its signal is not very high. Thus, one can infer that only some small amount of excess isocyanates has been converted to undesirable by-products. That is essential for the concept of the whole study as it confirms that the conditions adopted for the synthesis have made it possible to obtain generally linear urethane oligomers with

-NCO terminal groups, even at a relatively high excess of the isocyanate monomer [23].

Confirmation of chemical structures of urethane oligomer chains (Table 2) made the first step towards learning complete compositions of synthesised polymers. Following the idea of scheme (2), PUs obtained at successive stages of the polyaddition process should be initially mixtures of monomers and oligomers, and after extraction—mixtures of predominantly oligourethanes, and it is necessary to identify individual components. This problem was solved by analysing individual samples with the use of size exclusion chromatography (GPC) as well as mass spectrometry (ESI and MALDI-TOF) methods in which mild excitation techniques were employed to take records of basic







Fig. 7. IR spectra of isocyanate oligomer and hydroxyl oligomer obtained1/90from the first and second stages of the reaction of PCD-530 and TDI (I-5301791and II-530 as per Table 1).1792

molecular ions. It was possible from GPC chromatograms
which were recorded with the use of three detectors: RI, LS
and DV, to obtain a reasonably precise function of
molecular weight distribution (MWD) for individual
samples of studied oligomers and to make their quantitative
evaluation (Table 2).

The obtained data were correlated with the figures 1799 calculated from the mass balance for individual steps in the 1800 synthesis. It is obvious that the basic reason for poly-1801 dispersity of every urethane oligomer sample is primarily 1802 polydispersity of the hydroxyl component itself. All diols, 1803 except for BD, were inhomogeneous materials as regards 1804 their chemical compositions. Hence, adequate polymeris-1805 ation degrees n_i were required to be attributed to every 1806 component (i.e. to every peak) in chromatograms of POG or 1807 PCD. Then, in order to be able to compare homogeneity 1808 1809 features of oligourethanes produced at successive polyaddition stages, the Peak Fit v4. software was employed to 1810 calculate the corresponding MWD curves. The exemplary 1811 charts are shown in Figs. 8-10. 1812

The adopted procedure made it possible to observe the 1813 step-by-step growth of molecular weights of oligomers 1814 produced at every step of polymerisation. The best 1815 1816 chromatographic separation was obtained for samples taken from the reactor just after step 1 and step 2, when 1817 the chemical composition of the mixture analysed was 1818 reasonably simple. Good separation was then harder and 1819 1820 harder to achieve as the mean molecular weights of products increased. The obtained MWD curves-especially for stage 1821 5 and stage 6—became similar to those described in reports 1822 for high-molecular-weight PUs synthesised for example of 1823 ethylene glycol polyadipate, TDI or MDI and then expanded 1824 1825 with ethylene glycol [24].

One dominant oligourethane is usually observed in the polymer mixtures after the first two stages; its structure results from adopted stoichiometry (Table 1). The chemical compositions of products obtained from further stages become much more complex and adopted stoichiometry is

decisive more for the types of terminal functional groups 1849 rather than for reaction selectivity. In parallel to the most 1850 welcome oligomer, there are always a few other products 1851 present and their shares happen to be comparable to that of 1852 the required product. Some small amounts of high-1853 molecular-weight products appear as early as at the initial 1854 two steps. For example, step 1 will yield A₁B₂ but also 1855 isocyanate oligourethanes with higher molecular weights 1856 $(A_2B_3 \text{ and } A_3B_4)$ will be produced. Unconverted monomers 1857 can also be observed in some samples. 1858

Further polymerisation steps produce compounds with1859higher molecular weights, as expected, but they also yield1860lower oligomers, probably by the reaction of monomers1861introduced with monomers which have not been completely1862removed from previously synthesised products.1863

The recorded chromatograms made the basis for the 1864 calculations of number average (\bar{M}_n) and weight average 1865 (\bar{M}_{w}) molecular weights as well as polydispersity degrees 1866 $PD = \bar{M}_w / \bar{M}_n$ for the products formed. The values for the 1867 latter fall within 1.05–2.37 and they tend to be even closer 1868 to the scope of 1.1-1.3. No distinct increase in the scatter of 1869 molecular weights could be observed along the sequence of 1870 polyaddition stages-that is advantageous for the process 1871 offered. The values of PD > 1.3 are specific for oligour-1872 ethanes in which some amounts of monomers can be found 1873 after they have not been extracted out completely, and in 1874 particular for isocyanate prepolymers in which some small 1875 amounts of TDI are present. 1876

The ESI and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry methods 1877 were employed to unambiguously identify individual 1878 compounds within the analysed samples which had been 1879 found present on the basis of SEC analyses. The bands are 1880 visible in recorded MS spectra which can be attributed to the 1881 suggested molecular ions of oligomers, i.e. their sizes and 1882 structures, with the atomic weights of Na⁺, K⁺ or H⁺ 1883 cations added, as results from the adopted excitation 1884 method. Majority of positive ions present in ESI spectra 1885 contain K which has been introduced as KJ, and some minor 1886



P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx



part of them contain Na. MALDI-TOF spectra principally take records of adducts of oligomers and Na⁺ or H⁺ cations, and also possibly of K⁺ (about 15%) [1,2]. Additionally, isocyanate products reacted with methanol employed to dissolve samples which is also apparent in our findings.

The recorded spectra reveal generally a high number of M/z signals with much diversified intensities; after a detailed analysis, we managed to make signal-product pairs for majority of expected adducts. Theoretically calculated molecular weights of polyols and urethane oligomers match with the locations of their corresponding signals in MS spectra. Every spectrum has been made up of a specific sequence of signals arranged at the intervals of M/z = 44 from each other, that corresponds to the structural unit of $-CH_2CH_2O_-$ for POG, or at the intervals of M/z =114 (i.e. $-CO(CH_2)_5O-$) for PCD (Table 3).

The ESI spectra can take records of MWD only up to the value of M/z = 1200. Hence, it was impossible to fully identify the components of the samples studied (Figs. 11 and 12) [25,26]. Additional information on the chemical compositions of the investigated oligomers could be obtained by comparing ESI spectra to GPC findings. The MALDI-TOF technique is capable of analysing a much broader scope of M/z. Just for illustration, Figs. 13 and 14 show exemplary spectra for oligomers obtained from two stages of reactions of TDI and POG 300, while the detailed interpretation of spectra for all the synthesised oligomers have been shown in Table 3.

The employed MS methods complement the chemical compositions of oligomers as found by GPC and make them reliable. Said compositions are much more complex than one could expect from the balance calculations and from kinetic modelling, still the general compatibility with the model has been kept. In the MS spectra recorded, signals can be seen, which represent, for example, oligourethanes created from POG or PCD molecules with various polymerisation degrees n_i . When individual molecular ions are precisely recorded with the use of MALDI-TOF technique, the compounds, which could not be isolated with the GPC method due to poor separation of individual



Table 3

Interpretation for mass spectra of urethane oligomers

Designations for oligomers as per Table 1	Type of MS method N	No. of fig.	Band location (M/z)	Relative intensity (%)	Probable structure of molecular ion	Calculated molecular weight (g/mol
I-BDb	MALDI		525	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	523.34
			675	5.71	$A_3B_2 + Na^+ + CH_3OH$	673.34
			790	2.86	$A_2B_3 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	789.51
I-BDb	MALDI		378	22.22	$A_2B_1 + Na^+$	377.17
			642	100	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	641.34
			792	5.19	$A_{3}B_{3} + H^{+}$	793.51
			907	2.22	$A_4B_3 + Na^+$	906.51
			1171	1.11	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	1170.68
I-BDb	MALDI		261	46.15	$B + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	261.17
			525	18.27	$A_1B_2 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	523.34
			551	34.61	$A_2B_2 + Na^+$	551.34
			790	20.19	$A_2B_3 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	789.51
			1054	100	$A_3B_4 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1053.68
			1203	8.65	$A_4B_4 + 2CH_3OH$	1204.68
			1319	6.73	$A_4B_5 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1317.85
			1583	7.70	$A_5B_6 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1582.02
	· · · · · ·		1848	1.92	$A_6B_7 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1846.19
-BDb	MALDI		361	47.28	$A_2B_1 + H^+$	355.17
			551	29.09	$A_2B_2 + Na^+$	551.34
			906	18.17	$A_4B_3 + Na^+$	906.51
			1171	100	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	1170.68
			1320	9.10	$A_5B_5 + H^+$	1321.85
			1435	7.28	$A_6B_5 + Na^+$	1433.85
-BDb	MALDI		262	97.83	$B + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	261.17
			376	84.79	$B_2 + Na^+$	371.34
			414	100	$B_2 + H^+ + 2CH_3OH$	413.34
			525	35.87	$A_1B_2 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	523.34
			551	73.92	$A_2B_2 + Na^+$	551.34
			995	11.96	$A_3B_4 + H^+ + CH_3OH$	999.68
			1201	14.14	$A_4B_4 + H^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1205.68
			1583	32.60	$A_5B_6 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1582.02
			1848	4.35	$A_6B_7 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1846.19
			1938	2.17	$A_7B_7 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1936.19
			2112	3.27	$A_7B_8 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	2110.36
			2641	3.27	$\begin{array}{l} A_9B_{10}+Na^++2CH_3OH\\ H\end{array}$	2638.70
OG 200	ESI		409.1	100	$A + K^+$	409 (n = 8)
			365.1	88.00	$A + K^+$	365 (n = 7)
200b	ESI 1	1	689.2	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+$	$692.34 (n_1 = 6)$ (continued on next page

19

$\begin{array}{r} 2129\\ 2130\\ 2133\\ 2133\\ 2133\\ 2134\\ 2135\\ 2136\\ 2137\\ 2136\\ 2137\\ 2137\\ 2137\\ 2137\\ 2137\\ 2137\\ 2142\\ 2137\\ 2142\\ 2142\\ 2142\\ 2142\\ 2142\\ 2142\\ 2142\\ 2142\\ 2144\\ 2144\\ 2144\\ 2144\\ 2155\\ 2156\\$

20

Designations for oligomers as per Table 1	Type of MS method	No. of fig.	Band location (M/z)	Relative intensity (%)	Probable structure of molecular ion	Calculated molecular weight (g/m
I-200b	ESI	12	365.1	100	$A + K^+$	365 (n = 7)
			969.3	97.00	$A_2B_2 + K^+$	$969.34(n_{1,2} = 4)$
			1013.4	100	$A_{3}B_{2} + K^{+}$	$1013.34 (n_{12} = 4, n_2 = 5)$
	MALDI		542	48.25	$A_{2}B_{1} + Na^{+}$	$541.17 (n_1 = 3, n_2 = 4)$
			954	100	$A_{3}B_{2} + Na^{+}$	$953.34 (n_{1,2} = 4)$
			1279	30.07	$A_4B_2 + Na^+$	$1277.51 (n_1 = 3, n_2, 4 = 4)$
			1647	20.98	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	$1645\ 68\ (n_1 = 3\ n_2\ 5 = 4)$
			2060	7.69	$A_cB_c + Na^+$	$2057.85 (n_1 = 4)$
			2428	3.50	$A_7B_6 + Na^+$	$2426.02 \ (n_{1-7} = 4)$
П-200Ь	ESI		689.2	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+$	$692.34 (n_1 = 6)$
	MALDI		610	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+$	$609.34 (n_1 = 5)$
			935	48.91	$A_2B_3 + Na^+$	933.51 $(n_{1,2} = 4)$
			1259	92.39	$A_3B_4 + Na^+$	1257.68 ($n_1 = 3, n_{2,3} = 4$)
			1628	31.52	$A_4B_5 + Na^+$	1625.85 ($n_1 = 3, n_{2-4} = 4$)
			1996	20.65	$A_5B_6 + Na^+$	1994.02 ($n_1 = 3, n_{2-5} = 4$)
			2409	7.61	$A_6B_7 + Na^+$	2406.19 $(n_{1-6} = 4)$
V-200b	ESI		365.1	100	$A + K^+$	365 ($n = 7$)
			645.2	38	$A_2B_1 + K^+$	$645.17 (n_1 = 4, n_2 = 5)$
			969	35	$A_3B_2 + K^+$	969.34 ($n_{1-3} = 4$)
	MALDI		586	39.00	$A_2B_1 + Na^+$	585.17 $(n_{1,2} = 4)$
			955	36.00	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	953.34 $(n_{1-3} = 4)$
			1279	36.00	$A_4B_3 + Na^+$	1277.51 ($n_1 = 3, n_{2-4} = 4$)
			1692	100	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	1689.68 $(n_{1-5} = 4)$
			2060	52.00	$A_6B_5 + Na^+$	2057.85 $(n_{1-6} = 4)$
			2385	43.00	$A_7B_6 + Na^+$	2382.02 ($n_1 = 3, n_{2-7} = 4$)
			2797	22.00	$A_8B_7 + Na^+$	2794.19 $(n_{1-8} = 4)$
			3077	17.21	$A_9B_8 + Na^+$	$3074.36 (n_{1,2} = 3, n_{3-9} = 4)$
			3490	10.4	$A_{10}B_9 + Na^+$	3486.53 ($n_1 = 3, n_{2-10} = 4$)
			3814	7.17	$A_{11}B_{10} + Na^+$	$3810.70 \ (n_{1,2} = 3, n_{3-11} = 4)$
′-200b	ESI		689.2	94	$A_1B_2 + Na^+$	$692.34 (n_1 = 6)$
			733.2	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+$	736.34 $(n_1 = 7)$
	MALDI		1129	100	$A_2B_3 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1129.51 ($n_1 = 5, n_2 = 6$)
			1260	86.57	$A_3B_4 + Na^+$	1257.68 ($n_1 = 3, n_{2,3} = 4$)
			1454	91.04	$A_3B_4 + H^+$	1455.68 ($n_1 = 5, n_2 = 6$)
			1630	95.52	$A_4B_5 + Na^+$	1625.85 ($n_1 = 3, n_{2-4} = 4$)
			1998	91.79	$A_5B_6 + Na^+$	1994.02 ($n_1 = 3, n_{2-5} = 4$)
			2411	39.55	$A_6B_7 + Na^+$	2406.19 $(n_{1-6} = 4)$
-300b	MALDI	13	718	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	717.34 ($n_1 = 6$)
			1218	11.84	$A_2B_3 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1217.51 $(n_1 = 6, n_2 = 7)$
I-300b	MALDI		938	7.25	$A_2B_1 + Na^+$	937.17 ($n_{1,2} = 8$)
			1262	100	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	$1261.34 \ (n_{1,2} = 6, n_3 = 7)$
			1630	13.58	$A_4B_3 + Na^+$	1629.68 $(n_1 = 5, n_{2-4} = 6)$
			2130	20.99	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	2129.68 $(n_{1-5} = 6)$

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

Designations for oligomers as per Table 1	Type of MS method	No. of fig.	Band location (M/z)	Relative intensity (%)	Probable structure of molecular ion	Calculated molecular weight (g/mo
Ш-300b	MALDI		1061	43.90	$A_2B_3 + H^+ + 2CH_3OH$	$1063.51 (n_{1,2} = 5)$
			1630	100	$A_3B_4 + H^+$	$1631.68 \ (n_1 = 6, n_{2,3} = 7)$
			2086	14.63	$A_4B_5 + H^+$	2087.85 $(n_{1,2} = 6, n_{3,4} = 7)$
			2498	13.41	$A_5B_6 + H^+$	$2500.02 \ (n_{1-4} = 6, n_5 = 7)$
V-300b	MALDI		1210	78.16	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	1217.51 $(n_{1-3} = 6)$
			1675	32.18	$A_4B_3 + Na^+$	1673.68 ($n_{1-4} = 6$)
			2132	100	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	2129.68 $(n_{1-5} = 6)$
			3001	28.74	$A_6B_5 + H^+$	$3003.85 (n_{1,2} = 7, n_{3-6} = 8)$
			3546	10.34	$A_7B_6 + H^+$	3548.02 ($n_{1,2} = 7, n_{3-7} = 8$)
			3959	9.20	$A_8B_7 + H^+$	$3960.19 \ (n_{1-5} = 7, n_{6-8} = 8)$
-300b	MALDI	14	1599	41.46	$A_3B_4 + Na^+ + CH_3OH$	1597.68 $(n_{1-3} = 6)$
			2190	47.56	$A_4B_5 + H^+ + 2CH_3OH$	2195.85 $(n_1 = 6, n_{2-4} = 7)$
			2544	100	$A_5B_6 + H^+$	2544.02 $(n_{1-3} = 6, n_{4,5} = 7)$
			2956	32.93	$A_6B_7 + H^+$	2956.19 $(n_{1-5} = 6, n_6 = 7)$
			3368	39.02	$A_7B_8 + H^+$	$3368.36 (n_{1-7} = 6)$
			3957	13.41	$A_8B_9 + H^+$	$3956.53 \ (n_{1-5} = 6, n_{6-8} = 7)$
			4369	9.76	$\mathrm{A_{9}B_{10}+H^{+}}$	4368.7 $(n_{1-7} = 6, n_{8,9} = 7)$
DG 400	ESI		497.2	100	$A + K^+$	497 (<i>n</i> = 10)
400b	ESI		849.3	100	A_1B_2	850 ($n_1 = 11$)
-400b	ESI		497.2	100	$A + K^+$	497 ($n = 10$)
	MALDI		350	100	$A + Na^+$	349 (n = 7)
			911	8.66	$A_2B_1 + H^+$	915.17 $(n_{1,2} = 8)$
			1483	12.70	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	1481.34 $(n_{1-3} = 8)$
			2028	1.54	$A_4B_3 + Na^+$	2025.51 $(n_{1-4} = 8)$
			2529	1.00	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	2525.68 ($n_1 = 7, n_{2-5} = 8$)
I-400b	ESI		849.1	100	A_1B_2	850 $(n_1 = 11)$
	MALDI		742	100	$A_1B_2 + 2CH_3OH$	738.34 $(n_1 = 7)$
			1288	13.38	$A_2B_3 + Na^+$	$1285.51 \ (n_{1,2} = 8)$
			1832	28.17	$A_3B_4 + Na^+$	1829.68 $(n_{1-3} = 8)$
			2289	5.63	$A_4B_5 + Na^+$	2285.85 ($n_{1,2} = 7, n_{3,4} = 8$)
			2657	4.93	$A_5B_6 + Na^+$	$2654.02 \ (n_1 = 6, n_{2-5} = 7)$
7-400b	ESI		497.2	100	$A + K^+$	497 ($n = 10$)
	MALDI		350	100	$A + Na^+$	349 (n = 7)
			851	8.06	$A_2B_1 + Na^+$	$849.17 (n_{1,2} = 7)$
			1102	3.23	$A_2B_2 + H^+$	1100.34 ($n_1 = 7, n_2 = 8$)
			1527	3.23	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	$1525.34 (n_{1,2} = 8, n_3 = 9)$
			2528	1.95	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	2525.68 ($n_1 = 7, n_{2-5} = 8$)
			3161	0.65	$A_6B_5 + Na^+$	3157.85 $(n_1 = 7, n_{2-6} = 8)$
			3661	0.43	$A_7B_6 + Na^+$	$3658.02 \ (n_{1-7} = 8)$
7-400b	ESI		583.2	100	$A_1B_1+K^+$	583.17 $(n_1 = 8)$

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

2353 2354 2355 2355 2356 2357 2356 2357 2356 2362 2362 2363 2364 2365 2365 2366 2371 2365 2366 2376 2376 2376 2376 2376 2377 2386 2376 2376 2377 2387 2378 2376 2377 2387 2378 2376 2377 2387 2378 2376 2377 2387 2378 2376 2377 2387 2378 2376 2377 2387 2387 2378 2377 2387 2378 2377 2387 2378 2377 2387 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2387 2377 2388 2397 2387 2377 2387 2387 2387 2387 2388 2397 2388 2397 2388 2397 2388 2398 2397 2388 2398 2397 2388 2398</l

Table 3 (continued)

Designations for oligomers as per Table 1	Type of MS method No. of fig.	Band location (M/z)	Relative intensity (%)	Probable structure of molecular ion	Calculated molecular weight (g/mol)
		627.1	73	$A_1B_1 + K^+$	627.17 $(n_1 = 9)$
	MALDI	903	100	$A_2B_2 + H^+ + CH_3OH$	901.34 ($n_1 = 5, n_2 = 6$)
		1053	57.33	$A_2B_2 + Na^+ + CH_3OH$	$1055.34 (n_{1,2} = 7)$
		1227	28.67	$A_2B_3 + Na^+ + CH_3OH$	$1229.51 \ (n_{1,2} = 7)$
		1413	21.42	$A_3B_3 + H^+$	1413.51 $(n_{1,2} = 6, n_3 = 7)$
		1627	14.33	$A_{3}B_{4} + H^{+}$	$1631.68 (n_{1,2} = 6, n_3 = 7)$
		1953	7.08	$A_4B_5 + H^+$	1955.85 $(n_1 = 5, n_{2-4} = 6)$
		2629	5.11	$A_5B_6 + H^+$	$2632.02 (n_1 = 6, n_{2-5} = 7)$
		3040	3.26	$A_6B_7 + H^+$	$3044.19 \ (n_{1-3} = 6, n_{4-6} = 7)$
POG 600	MALDI	614	100	$A + Na^+$	613 (<i>n</i> = 13)
I-600b	MALDI	875	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+$	873.34 ($n_1 = 11$)
II-600b	MALDI	570	100	$A + Na^+$	569 ($n = 12$)
		1335	7.80	$A_2B_1 + Na^+$	1337.17 ($n_1 = 12, n_2 = 13$)
		2143	46.10	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	2141.34 ($n_{1-3} = 13$)
		2820	6.40	$A_4B_3 + Na^+$	2817.51 ($n_{1,2} = 12, n_{3,4} = 13$)
		3628	6.30	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	$3625.68 \ (n_1 = 12, n_{2-5} = 13)$
III-600b	MALDI	1027	100	$A_1B_2 + H^+$	$1027.34 (n_1 = 11)$
		1793	11.97	$A_2B_3 + H^+$	1791.51 $(n_{1,2} = 14)$
		2556	33.80	$A_{3}B_{4} + H^{+}$	2555.68 $(n_1 = 13, n_{2,3} = 14)$
		3337	4.93	$\mathrm{A_4B_5} + \mathrm{H^+} + \mathrm{CH_3OH}$	3339.51 $(n_{1-3} = 13, n_4 = 14)$
IV-600b	MALDI	573	57.14	$A + Na^+$	569 ($n = 12$)
		1135	100	$A_2B_1 + H^+$	1135.17 ($n_1 = 10, n_2 = 11$)
		2099	18.00	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	$2097.34 (n_1 = 12, n_{2,3} = 13)$
		3145	8.67	$A_4B_3 + H^+$	$3147.51 \ (n_{1,2} = 14, n_{3,4} = 15)$
		3672	4.79	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	$3669.68 (n_{1-5} = 13)$
		4589	2.43	$A_6B_5 + H^+$	4587.85 $(n_{1,2} = 13, n_{3-6} = 14)$
V-600b	MALDI	1061	100	$A_1B_2 + H^+ + CH_3OH$	$1059.34 (n_1 = 15)$
		2321	17.20	$A_{3}B_{4} + H^{+}$	2323.68 $(n_1 = 11, n_{2,3} = 12)$
		3249	11.67	$A_4B_5 + H^+ + 2CH_3OH$	$3251.85 (n_1 = 12, n_{2-4} = 13)$
		4043	6.48	$A_5B_6 + H^+$	$4040.02 (n_{1-4} = 13, n_5 = 14)$
		4629	6.05	$A_6B_7 + H^+$	4628.19 ($n_{1-3} = 12, n_{4-6} = 13$)
POG 1000	MALDI	923	100	$A + Na^+$	921 ($n = 20$)
I-1000b	MALDI	1272	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+$	1269.34 $(n_1 = 20)$
II-1000b	MALDI	923	100	$A + Na^+$	921 ($n = 20$)
		2276	20.2	$A_2B_1 + H^+$	2279.17 ($n_1 = 23, n_2 = 24$)
		3377	28.28	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	$3373.34 (n_{1,2} = 22, n_3 = 23)$
		4510	5.4	$A_4B_3 + H^+$	4507.85 $(n_{1-3} = 20, n_4 = 21)$
III-1000b	MALDI	1379	100	$A_1B_2 + H^+$	1379.34 ($n_1 = 23$)
		2512	19.12	$A_2B_3 + Na^+$	2514.51 ($n_1 = 21, n_2 = 22$)
		3702	13.24	$A_3B_4 + H^+$	$3699.68 \ (n_{1,2} = 22, n_3 = 23)$
2452 2452 2455 2455 2455 2455 2461 2462 2461 2462 2462 2462 2462	2443 2444 2445 2446 2447 2447 2445 2451 2452	2437 2438 2439 2440 2441 2441	2430 2431 2432 2432 2432 2432 2435	2422 2422 2422 2422 2422 2422 2422 242	2409 2411 2412 2412 2412 2412 2412 2412 241

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

Table 3 (continued)

Designations for oligomers as per Table 1	Type of MS method No. of fig.	Band location (M/z)	Relative intensity (%)	Probable structure of molecular ion	Calculated molecular weight (g/mol)
		5098	2.94	$A_4B_5 + Na^+$	5101.85 ($n_{1,2} = 23, n_{3,4} = 24$)
IV-1000b	MALDI	922	100	$A + Na^+$	921 $(n = 20)$
		2173	57.31	$A_2B_1 + Na^+$	2169.17 $(n_{1,2} = 22)$
		3349	14.93	$A_3B_2 + H^+$	$3351.48 (n_{1,2} = 22, n_3 = 23)$
		4644	10.40	$A_4B_3 + H^+$	$4643.51 (n_{1-4} = 23)$
		5319	10.11	$A_5B_4 + H^+$	5319.68 ($n_{1,2} = 20, n_{3,4} = 21$)
V-1000b	MALDI	1315	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+$	$1313.17 (n_1 = 21)$
		2468	20.29	$A_2B_3 + H^+ + 2CH_3OH$	$2471.51 (n_{1,2} = 21)$
		3495	7.25	$A_3B_4 + H^+ + 2CH_3OH$	$3499.68 (n_{1,2} = 20, n_3 = 21)$
		4597	3.93	$A_4B_5 + H^+$	$4595.85 (n_1 = 20, n_{2-4} = 21)$
		5714	2.85	$A_5B_6 + H^+$	5712.02 $(n_1 = 20, n_{2-5} = 21)$
		6681	2.37	$A_6B_7 + H^+ + CH_3OH$	$6684.19 \ (n_{1-5} = 20, n_6 = 21)$
PCD 530	MALDI	586	100	$A + Na^+$	585 $(n = 4)$
II-530b	MALDI	358	100	$A + Na^+$	357 (n = 2)
		1375	14.81	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	$1373.34 \ (n_{1-3} = 2)$
III-530b	MALDI	1054	100	$A_1B_2 + H^+ + CH_3OH$	$1057.34 (n_1 = 5)$
		1679	56.00	$A_2B_3 + H^+ + CH_3OH$	$1679.51 (n_{1,2} = 4)$
		2380	10.40	$A_3B_4 + H^+$	2383.68 $(n_{1-3} = 4)$
IV-530b	MALDI	359	100	$A + Na^+$	357 (n = 2)
		863	11.11	$A_2B_1 + Na^+$	$865.17 (n_{1,2} = 2)$
		1377	1.47	$A_{3}B_{2} + Na^{+}$	$1373.34 (n_{1-3} = 2)$
		1878	0.73	$A_4B_3 + Na^+$	1881.51 $(n_{1-4} = 2)$
PCD 1250	MALDI	586	100	$A + Na^+$	585 $(n = 4)$
II-1250b	MALDI	815	100	$A + Na^+$	815 $(n = 6)$
		1894	3.01	$A_2B_1 + Na^+$	1891.17 $(n_1 = 6, n_2 = 7)$
		3201	2.37	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	$3198.34 (n_{1,2} = 7, n_3 = 8)$
III-1250b	MALDI	995	100	$A_1B_2 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	997.17 $(n_1 = 4)$
		1252	45.00	$A_1B_2 + H^+$	$1253.34 (n_1 = 7)$
		1553	40.00	$A_2B_3 + Na^+$	1555.51 $(n_1 = 3, n_2 = 4)$
IV-1250b	MALDI	1155	100	$A + Na^+$	1155 $(n = 9)$
		3884	0.95	$A_3B_2 + Na^+$	$3881.34 (n_{1,2} = 9, n_3 = 10)$
		4503	0.63	$A_4B_3 + Na^+$	4503.51 ($n_1 = 7, n_{2-4} = 8$)
		5241	0.48	$A_5B_4 + Na^+$	5239.68 $(n_1 - 5 = 7)$
V-1250b	MALDI	994	55.56	$A_1B_2 + Na^+ + 2CH_3OH$	997.17 $(n_1 = 4)$
		1201	100	$A_1B_2 + H^+ + 2CH_3OH$	1203.34 $(n_1 = 6)$
		1527	19.44	$A_2B_3 + H^+$	1533.51 $(n_1 = 3, n_2 = 4)$

Designations: (1) n_i —polymerisation degree (DP) in POG or PCD.

22522 22522 22522 2522 2522 2522 2522

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

RTICLE IN PRESS

CLE IN PR



components, even with the use of three chromatographic 2596 columns connected in parallel, can be identified. Some MS 2597 spectra reveal the presence of oligomers with the compo-2598 sitions close to expectations, which seemed to be 'missing' 2599 in GPC, while some other spectra demonstrate many more 2600 high-molecular-weight products which have been recorded 2601 in chromatograms in the form of so-called 'tails'. 2602

We also made attempts to correlate the findings from 2603 quantitative determinations with the data obtained from 2604 mass balances for the reactions (Table 1). It was assumed 2605 for the qualitative evaluation of chromatograms that the 2606 peak areas were representative for concentrations of the 2607 2608 components, and the linear performance profile was also assumed for the RI detector. The values of dn/dc for 2609 monomers are in fact lower than those for oligomers, and 2610 hence the concentrations calculated for the former could be 2611 overestimated [27,28]. Deviations from dn/dc for oligomers 2612 higher than tetramers were assumed to be negligible and 2613 thus the detector signals to be proportional to concen-2614



Fig. 12. ESI spectrum for oligourethane II-200b. (Table 3).

trations. Deconvolution of poorly separated peaks was 2633 necessary for quantitative measurements by the internal 2634 standardization method, what was possible with the use of 2635 the computer software. 2636

The results calculated theoretically and the findings from 2637 the GPC method are compared in Table 2. Good correlation 2638 can, in most cases, be observed for those two sets of data. 2639 The differences result from the fact that not only the 2640 principal oligomer-at the highest volume-is recorded in 2641 GPC chromatograms and MS spectra. Other high-molecu-2642 lar-weight products have also been observed and their 2643 volumes are much higher than those obtained from the 2644 balance. Moreover, chromatograms prove the presence of 2645 some amounts of unconverted monomers and oligomers 2646 with lower molecular weights, what could not be taken into 2647 consideration for theoretical calculations. Hence, the 2648 findings from the SEC analyses can be slightly overstated 2649 in relation to actual values. 2650

2651

2652

2653

5. Conclusions

2654 As comes from the literature reports, developing new 2655 methods of synthesis for isocyanate and hydroxyl inter-2656 mediates can be found useful for the manufacturing 2657 processes capable of yielding, for example, new types of 2658 elastomers, coatings and aqueous emulsions of PUs. The 2659 two-stage bulk polyaddition process of diisocyanates and 2660 polyols, which is most frequently employed, will not 2661 produce any well-defined and regular segmented structure. 2662 This is most probably not only because of chain irregula-2663 rities (imperfection) but also due to considerable poly-2664 dispersity of chemical composition and MWD of thus 2665 obtained linear PUs. That is typical for the equilibrium 2666 polymerisation process in which many molecules are 2667 present at every moment in the reaction system-basically 2668 monomers at the beginning, and then oligourethanes which 2669 are progressively produced and which simultaneously decay 2670 over time. The need to extend the prepolymer chains 2671 afterwards with the use of low-molecular-weight com-2672 pounds makes the composition of the polyurethane mixture 2673 even more complicated. It is, hence, justified to develop a 2674 method which can give us all a tool to much better control 2675 the structure and that means to control the properties of 2676 polyurethanes. 2677

The computer simulation based on the kinetic model for 2678 the step-by-step polyaddition process was found useful in 2679 developing grounds for the polyaddition process capable of 2680 vielding linear urethane oligomers with -OH and -NCO 2681 terminal groups and narrow MWDs. Our studies proved that 2682 those products could be obtained in a multi-staged 2683 polyaddition process of 2,4-TDI and 2,6-TDI with various 2684 hydroxyl compounds: low-molecular-weight diol (BD), 2685 polyethers (POG) or polyesters (PCD), with the excessive 2686 amount of one reacting substance employed. These reac-2687 tions can be carried out in bulk, with no external catalyst, in 2688

P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx



the presence of benzoyl chloride which stabilises urethane–
isocyanate prepolymers produced. However, it is necessary
to separate excess amounts of monomers after every process
stage—that can be done by means of selective extraction
methods.

The structural studies involved the GPC as well as IR, ESI and MALDI-TOF spectrometry methods and they could identify all intermediates—urethane oligomers which were progressively produced and which simultaneously decayed in the step-by-step polymerisation process. Chemical structures of oligourethanes obtained from the same polyols

(urethane-hydroxyl prepolymers) were-as expected-much similar within particular groups of compounds, and the essential differences refer to the molecular sizes of oligomers. The presence of unconverted monomers clearly affects the viscosity specifications of the products obtained from downstream stages of the polymerisation process. After thorough purification of products, said viscosity is dependent on molecular weight of polyol employed in the reaction and on the process stage which yielded the product in question.



P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera / Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx

2801 One dominant oligourethane is usually observed in the polymer mixture obtained after the first two polyaddition 2802 stages. Its structure is determined by the adopted stoichi-2803 ometry. Chemical compositions of products from further 2804 stages become much more complex. Hence, the stoichiometric 2805 ratio is rather decisive for the type of terminal functional 2806 groups than for the reaction selectivity. The expected oligomer 2807 is always accompanied by a few other products which can be 2808 present even at comparable concentrations. It happens 2809 frequently that some small amounts of high-molecular-weight 2810 products appear as early as at the initial two stages of the 2811 process. These compound, however, always have identical 2812 2813 end groups: -NCO or -OH.

It is possible to restrain the polydispersity degrees for the obtained oligomers to the range of 1.1–1.3, and—what is significant—no clear increase in MWD is observed with the progress of the polyaddition stage. 2860

The findings for -NCO group contents and quantitative2861determinations with the GPC method confirmed the general2862consistence of expected chemical compositions of oligomers and the data calculated on the basis of mass balances2864for individual process stages.2865

Since excessive amounts of one of monomers need to be separated after every process stage, this method is applicable for semi-commercial plants of big laboratory stands to produce oligourethanes with precisely defined

2866

2867

2868

2869



P. Król, B. Pilch-Pitera /

chemical compositions and regular chain structures. Such products can then be further processed, i.e. expanded to form linear polyurethanes with narrow MWDs and sub-jected to cross-linking, to yield foamed plastics or elastomers, e.g. with the RIM technique, or they can be used as macro-urethane cores on which chains of vinyl polymers can be grafted. The future process arrangement(s) must recycle separated monomers to the loop. The idea of such a process was presented in a diagram (Fig. 15) [29].

Then, it will be necessary to reveal the effect(s) of chemical structures of PUs synthesised from oligourethanes presented herein on supermolecular structures and thermal-mechanical properties of those PUs against PUs synthesised from the same feedstocks but in a single-stage method.

Acknowledgements

The authors which to thank Prof. Jerzy Silbering from the Jagiellonian University in Cracow, Faculty of Chemistry, for taking ESI spectra of the oligomers produced, and Mr Witold Pekala M.Sc., who translated this study into English. This study was performed within the research project No. 3T09B 100 20 which was financially supported by the Committee for Scientific Research in Warsaw.

References

[1] Bernacca GM, Gulbransen MJ, Wilkinson R, Wheatley DJ. Biomaterials 1998;19:1151.

Polymer xx (0000) xxx-xxx 27	
[2] LIC D-Arms No. 570(42(-1000	
 [2] US Patent No. 5/86426; 1998. [2] Dobl M M Dany P. Mix P. Cöhda I. Hinrichton C. Polymor 1006:27; 	2969
[5] Foli M-M, Dany K, Mix K, Gande J, Hintensen G. Folymer 1990,57.	2970
[4] Zhang B, Tan H. Eur Polym J 1998;34:571.	2971
[5] Park YS, Kang YS, Chung DJ. e-Polymers 2002, no. 016. http://www.	2972
e-polymers.org.	2973
[6] Anzlovar A, Anzur I, Malavasic T. Polym Bull 1997;39:339.	2974
[7] US Patent No. 5747392; 1998.	2975
[8] Kim BK, Tharanikkkasu K, Lee JS. Colloid Polym Sci 1999;277:285.	2976
[9] Jagur-Grodzinski J. React Funct Polym 2001;49:1. [10] Elory P. Principles of polymer chemistry. Cornell V. Press: 1052	2977
[10] Froily F. Frincipies of polymer chemistry. Content V. Fress, 1952. [11] Król P. Pilch-Pitera B. Eur Polym J 2002:37:251	2978
[12] Król P, Pilch-Pitera B. Eur Polym J 2003;39:1229.	2979
[13] Li F, Zuo J, Dong L, Weng H. Eur Polym J 1998;34:56.	2980
[14] Yilgör E, Yurtsever E, Yilgör I. Polymer 2002;43:6551.	2981
[15] Król P. Int Polym Sci Technol 1997;24:100.	2982
[16] Król P, Heneczkowski M. Polimery 2000;45:775.	2983
[17] Krol P, Galina H, Kaczmarski K. Macromol Theory Simul 1999;8:	2984
129. [18] Král P. Pilch-Pitera R. Polimery 2002:47:77	2985
[19] Stagg FE. Analyst 1966:71:557.	2986
[20] US Patent No. 3883577; 1975.	2987
[21] Furer VL. J Mol Struct 2000;520:117.	2988
[22] Zha L, Wu M, Jang J. J Appl Polym Sci 1999;73:2895.	2989
[23] Semsaderzadeh MA, Navarchian AH. J Polym Engng (2002), report	2990
presented for publication.	2991
[24] Halas J, Krawiecki C. Polimery 1979;34:440. [25] Vontz DI Heu SI. Macromolecules 2000:33:8415–20	2992
[26] Rokicki G. Piotrowska A. Polymer 2002;43:2927–35.	2993
[27] Esser E, Keil C, Braun D. Polymer 2000;41:4039–404.	2994
[28] Prochazka F, Nicolai T, Durand D. Macromolecules 2000;33:1703-9.	2995
[29] Pilch-Pitera B. Synthesis of urethane oligomers as intermediates for	2996
the production of polyurethanes with controlled molecular weight	2997
distribution. Doctor's Thesis, Rzeszów University of Technology;	2008
2003.	2990
	3000
	3001
	3002
	3002
	3003
	3004
	3005
	2007
	3007
	2000
	2010
	2011
	2012
	2012
	2013
	2015
	3015
	3016
	3017
	3018
	3019
	3020
	3021